



SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SLIGHTLY $\Sigma\alpha$ - COMPACT FUZZY SETS

Md. Abdul Mottalib Talukder¹, B. M. Khalid Hossain²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, Khulna University of Engineering &
Technology, Khulna – 9203, Bangladesh

Email: ¹amtalukder@math.kuet.ac.bd, ²bmkhalid43@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Md. Abdul Mottalib Talukder

<https://doi.org/10.26782/jmcms.2025.03.00014>

(Received: January 13, 2025; Revised: February 20, 2025; Accepted: March 2, 2025)

Abstract

Within this paper, we create the ideas of slightly $\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter, shortly $S\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter, and slightly $\Sigma\alpha$ -compact, shortly $S\Sigma\alpha$ -compact fuzzy sets. In addition, we have established certain theorems concerning our vision in fuzzy topological spaces, fuzzy subspaces, fuzzy continuity mapping, fuzzy open mapping, fuzzy $\mathcal{T}_2$ -spaces (Hausdorff spaces), and their different characterizations. Finally, we have discussed our vision about the “good extension” characteristics.

Keywords: fuzzy sets, fuzzy topological spaces, $S\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter, and $S\Sigma\alpha$ -compact.

I. Introduction

In 1965, for the first time, Zadeh [XXXVIII] addressed fuzzy sets and described fuzziness mathematically. Chang [V] first presented the concept of fuzzy compactness using open cover, and Wong [XXXV] further developed the fuzzy topological spaces theory introduced by Chang [V] and investigated a lot of covering characterizations that comprise these spaces. The following fuzzy compactness levels were developed by Lowen [XIX, XXI]: fuzzy, strong, and ultra fuzzy, while Chadwick [IV] expanded the compactness of Lowen's. Additionally, Lowen [XX, XXII] also studied compactness within the fuzzy real line and compact product fuzzy topological spaces. Ying [XXXVI, XXXVII] initially presented the concept of fuzzifying compactness in fuzzifying topological spaces, followed by Guojun [XI] with N-compactness, and then Dongsheng [VII] with a generalization of N-compactness. Shi [XXXI] proposed a new way to define fuzzy compactness topological spaces that are in L-fuzzy, and L-fuzzy topological spaces. Li and Shi [XVII] presented the concept of the degree of fuzzy compactness. Hong [XII] addressed the concept of RS-compactness, while Noiri [XXVII] discussed various properties related to RS-compactness. Additionally, Kudri and Warner [XVI] and EL-Shafei et al. [VIII] discussed the characteristics of RS-compactness. Georgiou and Papadopoulos [X] characterized well known fuzzy compactness using the notion of fuzzy upper limit and Warner [XXXIV] discussed the modeling of topology in

Md. Abdul Mottalib Talukder et al.

general through fuzzy topology which is fuzzy compactness serves as an illustration. Warner and McLean [XXXIII] provided an effective definition of compactness within L-fuzzy topological space, while Kudri [XV] expanded this concept to an arbitrary L-fuzzy subset and discussed its characteristics. In reference [VI], Chen addressed the fuzzy compactness of induced I(L)-fuzzy topological spaces. An extension of the g-inverses of regular fuzzy matrices, the g-inverses of interval-valued fuzzy matrices were investigated by Meenakshi et al. [XXV]. Saha Apu Kumar and Debasish Bhattacharya [XXIX] discussed countable fuzzy topological spaces. Mahbub et al. [XXIII] presented two concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy compactness within intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. In their presentation of intuitionistic (compactness, almost compactness, near compactness), Abbas[I] used intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces as a larger framework. Gantner et al.[IX] presented the idea of α -shading and α -compactness for L-fuzzy topological spaces, while Mashhour et al. [XXIV] broadened this idea. Kim [XIV] discussed the characteristics of α -compact fuzzy topological spaces along with Benchalli and Siddapur [III] and formed a connection between general topological spaces and α -compact fuzzy topological spaces. In spaces with L-topologies, Shi [XXX] presented a new type of α -compactness, while in L-fuzzy topological spaces, Aygün [II] defined an effective version of fuzzy α -compactness. Talukder and Ali [XXXII] proposed partially α -compact fuzzy sets and discussed their different characteristics in topological spaces that are fuzzy. We introduced and discussed the idea of $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact fuzzy sets with several characterizations. We investigate $\Sigma\alpha$ -compact fuzzy sets and observe that it has various outward results.

II. Preliminaries

This section will go over a few key definitions that are important for the next section. These are found in the documents that are cited and are essential to our discussion.

Definition 2.1. [XXXVIII]: Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is a set that is not empty and a unit interval $I = [0, 1]$ that is closed. A function $e : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow I$ which assigns to each ingredient $g \in \mathcal{S}$ is a fuzzy set. $I^{\mathcal{S}}$ stands for all of $\mathcal{S}$'s fuzzy sets. Any ingredient of $I^{\mathcal{S}}$ may likewise be thought of as a fuzzy subset of $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2.2. [XXVIII]: Presume a fuzzy set e in $\mathcal{S}$, after that the set $\{g \in \mathcal{S} : e(g) > 0\}$ is treated as the support of e which is identified by e_0 .

Also, fuzzy sets that are (empty, whole, equal), fuzzy subset, a fuzzy set of complement, disjoint fuzzy sets; fuzzy sets (union and intersection), laws of (De-Morgan's distributive) in Zadeh [XXXVIII], quasi-coincident in Pao-Ming, P. & Ying-Ming [XXVIII], image and inverse image of a fuzzy set in Chang [V], topology and compact set in Lipschutz [XVIII] standard now.

Definition 2.3[V]: Presume $\mathcal{S}$ is a non-empty set and ρ is a gathering of fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{S}$. After that ρ is treated as fuzzy topology on $\mathcal{S}$

when

(1) $0, 1 \in \rho$

(2) $e_i \in \rho$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, after that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \in \rho$

(3) $e, z \in \rho$, after that $e \cap z \in \rho$.

The pair $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is treated as a fuzzy topological space and promptly, fts. Every component in ρ is treated as an open fuzzy set. If the complements to a fuzzy set are open, afterward the fuzzy set is considered closed.

Definition 2.4[V]: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \ell)$ are fuzzy topological spaces. A mapping $p: (\mathcal{S}, \rho) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \ell)$ is treated as fuzzy continuous iff inverse any open fuzzy set in ℓ is likewise an open fuzzy set in ρ or equivalently inverse owing to each closed fuzzy set in ℓ is also likewise a closed fuzzy set in ρ .

Definition 2.5[XXXV]: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \ell)$ are fuzzy topological spaces. Consider $p: (\mathcal{S}, \rho) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \ell)$ is a mapping from an fts $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ to another fts $(\mathcal{B}, \ell)$. After that p is treated as fuzzy open mapping iff $p(e) \in \ell$ for each $e \in \rho$.

Definition 2.6[XXVIII]: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is an fts and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ (subset). After that, the gathering $\rho_{\mathcal{H}} = \{e \mid \mathcal{H} : e \in \rho\}$ is also fuzzy topology about $\mathcal{H}$, treated as subspace topology that are fuzzy about $\mathcal{H}$, and the pair $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$ is treated as subspace of $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ that are fuzzy.

Definition 2.7[XIII]: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is an fts. After that $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is mentioned fuzzy $\mathcal{T}_2$ -space (Hausdorff space) iff for every $g, d \in \mathcal{S}$, $g \neq d$, there exist $e, z \in \rho$ such that $e(g) > 0$, $z(d) > 0$ and $e \cap z = 0$.

Definition 2.8[XIX]: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a topological space. After that, a mapping $p: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ (using the usual topology) is treated as lower semi-continuous (l. s. c.) when owing to every $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $f^{-1}(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{V}$. Regarding a topology $\mathcal{V}$ on a set $\mathcal{S}$, presume $\omega(\mathcal{V})$ is the collection of every l. s. c. function from $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ to I (using the usual topology); hence $\omega(\mathcal{V}) = \{e \in I^{\mathcal{S}} : e^{-1}(a, 1] \in \mathcal{V}, a \in I_1\}$. It will be proven that $\omega(\mathcal{V})$ is a fuzzy topology on $\mathcal{S}$.

Assume that FP is the fuzzy topology analogue of P, where P is a property of topological spaces. After that FP is treated as a ‘good extension’ of P “iff the

announcement $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ has P iff $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$ has FP” remains valid for each topological space $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$. In this manner characteristic functions are l. s. c.

III. Principal Findings

Within this section, we presented the thought of $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact fuzzy sets and set out some discernible characterizations of $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact fuzzy sets.

Definition 3.1: Presume $\mathcal{S}$ is not an empty set $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Consider $\{e_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a gathering of fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{S}$. After that $\{e_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is treated as slightly $\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter, shortly $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of a fuzzy set ξ in $\mathcal{S}$ iff $\sum_i e_i(g) \geq \alpha$ for whole $g \in \xi_o$ ($\xi_o \neq \emptyset$). A subgathering of $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ which is also a $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ is treated as $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of ξ .

For instance, assume $\mathcal{S} = \{a, b, c\}$, $I = [0, 1]$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Consider $e_1, e_2 \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined with $e_1(a) = 0.02$, $e_1(b) = 0.01$, $e_1(c) = 0.03$ and $e_2(a) = 0.04$, $e_2(b) = 0.03$, $e_2(c) = 0.02$. Again, presume $\xi \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined with $\xi(a) = 0.01$, $\xi(b) = 0.00$, $\xi(c) = 0.07$, so $\xi_o = \{a, c\}$. Choose $\alpha = 0.05$. It is clear that $u_1(a) + u_2(a) > \alpha$, $e_1(c) + e_2(c) \geq \alpha$, where $a, c \in \xi_o$. Therefore $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ .

Definition 3.2: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is an fts and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. A fuzzy set ξ in $\mathcal{S}$ is treated as slightly $\Sigma\alpha$ -compact, shortly $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact iff each open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter i.e. there exist $e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_n} \in \{e_i\}$ such that $\sum_i e_{i_k}(g) \geq \alpha$ for whole $g \in \xi_o$ and $(k \in \mathcal{I}_n)$.

The concepts of some theorems are taken from Lipschutz[XVIII] and Murdeswar[XXVI].

Theorem 3.3: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is an fts with $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{S}$ (proper subset) and ξ is a fuzzy set in $\mathcal{S}$ where $\xi_o \subset \mathcal{H}$. After that ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ iff ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$.

Proof: Regard ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$. Presume $\mathcal{E} = \{e_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$. So, there exists $Z_i \in \rho$ such that $e_i = Z_i \mid \mathcal{H} \subseteq Z_i$. Thus $\{Z_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$. As ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, so $\{Z_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say

$\{z_{i_r} : r \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$ such that $\sum_i z_{i_r}(g) \geq \alpha$ for all $g \in \xi_o$ and $r \in \mathcal{J}_n$. For if, $g \in \xi_o$, then there exist $z_{i_{r_0}}$ such that $\sum_i z_{i_{r_0}}(g) \geq \alpha$ ($r \in \mathcal{J}_n$) which implies that $\sum_i (z_{i_{r_0}} \mid \mathcal{H})(g) \geq \alpha$ ($r \in \mathcal{J}_n$) and consequently $\sum_i e_{i_{r_0}}(g) \geq \alpha$ ($r \in \mathcal{J}_n$), as $\xi_o \subset \mathcal{H}$. Hence $e_{i_o} \in \mathcal{E}$ and thus $\{e_{i_r} : r \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$ is a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of $\mathcal{E}$. Thus ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$.

Conversely, presume ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$. Assume $\mathcal{W} = \{z_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter ξ in $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$. Set $e_i = z_i \mid \mathcal{H}$. To show this, presume $g \in \mathcal{J}$. When $g \in \mathcal{H}$, after that, there exists $z_{i_o} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $e_{i_o} = z_{i_o} \mid \mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, $e_{i_o} \in \rho_{\mathcal{H}}$, so $\sum_i e_{i_o}(g) \geq \alpha$ for whole $g \in \xi_o$. Thus $\{e_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$. But ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{H}, \rho_{\mathcal{H}})$, so $\{e_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{e_{i_r} : r \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$ such that $\sum_i e_{i_r}(g) \geq \alpha$ for all $g \in \xi_o$. For if, $g \in \xi_o$, then there exists $e_{i_{r_0}}$ such that $\sum_i e_{i_{r_0}}(g) \geq \alpha$ implies that $\sum_i (z_{i_{r_0}} \mid \mathcal{H})(g) \geq \alpha$ implies that $\sum_i z_{i_{r_0}}(g) \geq \alpha$, as $\xi_o \subset \mathcal{H}$. So, $\{z_{i_r} : r \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$ is a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of $\mathcal{W}$. Therefore ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$.

Theorem 3.4: Presume $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$ is an fts, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and ξ, Ω are $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{J}$. After that $\xi \cup \Omega$ ($(\xi \cup \Omega)_o \neq \mathcal{J}$) is also $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$.

Proof: Presume $\mathcal{E} = \{e_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of $\xi \cup \Omega$. So, $\mathcal{E}$ is open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of both ξ and Ω . But, ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$, so every open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter i.e. there is a, say $e_{i_r} \in \mathcal{E}$ for which $\sum_i e_{i_r}(g) \geq \alpha$ for all $g \in \xi_o$ ($r \in \mathcal{J}_n$). Again, Ω is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$, so every open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of Ω has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter i.e. there exists, say $e_{i_p} \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\sum_i e_{i_p}(g) \geq \alpha$ for all $g \in \Omega_o$ ($p \in \mathcal{J}_n$). Therefore, $\{e_{i_r}, e_{i_p}\}$ is a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of $\mathcal{E}$. So, $\xi \cup \Omega$ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{J}, \rho)$.

Theorem 3.5: Presume an fts in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and ξ is a fuzzy set in $\mathcal{S}$ where $\xi_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$. If each collection of closed fuzzy sets in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ whose intersection is empty and has a finite subcollection with the empty intersection, consequently ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$. On the contrary, it is not right everywhere.

Proof: Presume $\{e_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ i.e. $\sum_i e_i(g) \geq \alpha$ for whole $g \in \xi_0$. For the first context of the theorem, we get $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i^c = o_{\mathcal{S}}$, so we write $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i = I_{\mathcal{S}}$ and hence clearly $\sum_i e_i(g) \geq \alpha$ for the whole $g \in \xi_0$. Again, for the second context of the theorem, we have $\bigcap_{k \in \mathcal{I}_n} e_{i_k}^c = o_{\mathcal{S}}$, so we can write $\bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{I}_n} e_{i_k} = I_{\mathcal{S}}$, and hence, it clearly shows that $\sum_i e_{i_k}(g) \geq \alpha$ for all $g \in \xi_0 (k \in \mathcal{I}_n)$, and hence, it is clear that $\{e_k : k \in \mathcal{I}_n\}$ is a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of $\{e_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Thus ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$.

For the contrary, consider the following instance:

Presume $\mathcal{S} = \{a, b, c\}$, $I = [0, 1]$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Presume $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by $e_1(a) = 0.03$, $e_1(b) = 0.04$, $e_1(c) = 0.02$; $e_2(a) = 0.04$, $e_2(b) = 0.08$, $e_2(c) = 0.01$; $e_3(a) = 0.04$, $e_3(b) = 0.08$, $e_3(c) = 0.02$ and $e_4(a) = 0.03$, $e_4(b) = 0.04$, $e_4(c) = 0.01$. Choose $\rho = \{0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, 1\}$, then $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is an fts. Again, presume $\xi \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by $\xi(a) = 0.06$, $\xi(b) = 0.05$, $\xi(c) = 0.00$, after that $\xi_0 = \{a, b\}$. Take $\alpha = 0.09$. After that, clearly ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$. On the other hand, closed fuzzy sets are $e_1^c(a) = 0.97$, $e_1^c(b) = 0.96$, $e_1^c(c) = 0.98$; $e_2^c(a) = 0.96$, $e_2^c(b) = 0.92$, $e_2^c(c) = 0.99$; $e_3^c(a) = 0.96$, $e_3^c(b) = 0.92$, $e_3^c(c) = 0.98$ and $e_4^c(a) = 0.97$, $e_4^c(b) = 0.96$, $e_4^c(c) = 0.99$. Hence, we see that $e_1^c \cap e_2^c \cap e_3^c \cap e_4^c \neq o_{\mathcal{S}}$. So, the contrary of the theorem is not true everywhere.

Theorem 3.6: Presume $p: (\mathcal{S}, \rho) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \ell)$ is fuzzy continuous and onto mapping, where $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \ell)$ are fuzzy topological spaces. If ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, after that $p(\xi)$ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{B}, \ell)$.

Proof: Presume $\{e_i : e_i \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of $p(\xi)$ in $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ i.e. $\sum_i e_i(d) \geq \alpha$ for whole $d \in (p(\xi))_0$. As p is fuzzy continuous, so $p^{-1}(e_i) \in \rho$ and hence, $\{p^{-1}(e_i) : e_i \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$. For if, $g \in \xi_0$, then $p(g) \in (p(\xi))_0$ and so, there exists $e_{i_0} \in \{e_i\}$ such that $\sum_i e_{i_0}(p(g)) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i p^{-1}(e_{i_0})(g) \geq \alpha$. Since, ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, so $\{p^{-1}(e_i) : e_i \in \mathcal{L}\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{p^{-1}(e_{i_k}) : k \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$. Now, if $d \in (p(\xi))_0$, then $d = p(g)$ for some $g \in \xi_0$. So, there exist $e_{i_k} \in \{e_i\}$ such that $\sum_i p^{-1}(e_{i_k})(g) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i (e_{i_k})(p(g)) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i (e_{i_k})(d) \geq \alpha$ ($k \in \mathcal{J}_n$) i.e. $\{e_{i_k}\} (k \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ form a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of $\{e_i\}$. So, $p(\xi)$ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 3.7: Presume $p : (\mathcal{S}, \rho) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$ is fuzzy open and bijective mapping, where $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$ are fuzzy topological spaces. If ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$, after that $p^{-1}(\xi)$ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$.

Proof: Presume $\{e_i : e_i \in \rho\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of $p^{-1}(\xi)$ in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ i.e. $\sum_i e_i(g) \geq \alpha$ for all $g \in (p^{-1}(\xi))_0$. But p is fuzzy open, so $p(e_i) \in \mathcal{L}$ and hence, $\{p(e_i) : e_i \in \rho\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$. For if, $d \in \xi_0$, then $p^{-1}(d) \in (p^{-1}(\xi))_0$ and so, there exists $e_{i_0} \in \{e_i\}$ such that $\sum_i e_{i_0}(p^{-1}(d)) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i p(e_{i_0})(d) \geq \alpha$. Since, ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L})$, so $\{p(e_i) : e_i \in \rho\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{p(e_{i_k}) : k \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$. Now, if $g \in (p^{-1}(\xi))_0$, then $g = p^{-1}(d)$ for some $d \in \xi_0$. So, there exist $e_{i_k} \in \{e_i\}$ such that $\sum_i p(e_{i_k})(d) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i e_{i_k}(p^{-1}(d)) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i e_{i_k}(g) \geq \alpha$ ($k \in \mathcal{J}_n$) i.e. $\{e_{i_k}\} (k \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ is a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter of $\{e_i\}$. Thus $p^{-1}(\xi)$ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$.

Theorem 3.8: Presume a fuzzy $\mathcal{T}_2$ -space (Hausdorff) is $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and ξ is a fuzzy set in $\mathcal{S}$ when $\xi_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$. If ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and $g \notin \xi_0$, after that there exist $e, z \in \rho$ such that $e(g) > 0$ and $\xi_0 \subseteq z^{-1}(0, 1]$ and $e \cap z = 0$. But, the reverse is not right in everywhere.

Md. Abdul Mottalib Talukder et al.

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{d} \in \xi_o$. Since, $g \notin \xi_o$, then it is clear that $g \neq \mathcal{d}$. But, $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is fuzzy Hausdorff, then there exist $e_{\mathcal{d}}, z_{\mathcal{d}} \in \rho$ such that $e_{\mathcal{d}}(g) > 0$, $z_{\mathcal{d}}(\mathcal{d}) > 0$ and $e_{\mathcal{d}} \cap z_{\mathcal{d}} = 0$. Since, ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in fuzzy Hausdorff space $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, then it clearly shows that $\sum_{\mathcal{d}} z_{\mathcal{d}}(\mathcal{d}) \geq \alpha$ for all $\mathcal{d} \in \xi_o$ i.e. $\{z_{\mathcal{d}} : \mathcal{d} \in \xi_o\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ and hence $\{z_{\mathcal{d}} : \mathcal{d} \in \xi_o\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{z_{\mathcal{d}_k} : \mathcal{d} \in \xi_o\}$ ($k \in \mathcal{J}_n$) such that $\sum_{\mathcal{d}} z_{\mathcal{d}_k}(\mathcal{d}) \geq \alpha$ for all $\mathcal{d} \in \xi_o$. Now, let $e = e_{\mathcal{d}_1} \cap e_{\mathcal{d}_2} \cap \dots \cap e_{\mathcal{d}_n}$ and $z = z_{\mathcal{d}_1} \cup z_{\mathcal{d}_2} \cup \dots \cup z_{\mathcal{d}_n}$. Hence, we observe that $e, z \in \rho$, as e and z are the finite intersection and union of open fuzzy sets, respectively. Moreover, $e(g) > 0$, as $e_{\mathcal{d}_k}(g) > 0$ for each k and $\xi_o \subseteq z^{-1}(0, 1]$.

Finally, we have to show that $e \cap z = 0$. Since $e_{\mathcal{d}_k} \cap z_{\mathcal{d}_k} = 0 \Rightarrow e \cap z_{\mathcal{d}_k} = 0$, by distributive law, we have $e \cap z = e \cap (z_{\mathcal{d}_1} \cup z_{\mathcal{d}_2} \cup \dots \cup z_{\mathcal{d}_n}) = 0$.

To observe the reverse, consider $\mathcal{S} = \{a, b\}$, $I = [0, 1]$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Presume $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined with $e_1(a) = 0.01$, $e_1(b) = 0.00$; $e_2(a) = 0.00$, $e_2(b) = 0.02$ and $e_3(a) = 0.01$, $e_3(b) = 0.02$. Put $\rho = \{0, e_1, e_2, e_3, 1\}$, then $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is a fuzzy Hausdorff space. Presume $\xi \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by $\xi(a) = 0.06$, $\xi(b) = 0.00$, then $\xi_o = \{a\}$ and $b \notin \xi_o$. Here $e_1, e_2 \in \rho$, where $e_2(b) > 0$ and $e_1^{-1}(0, 1] = \{a\}$. Therefore, $\xi_o \subseteq e_1^{-1}(0, 1]$. Take $\alpha = 0.04$. Thus ξ is not $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, as $\sum_{\bar{k}} e_{\bar{k}}(a) < \alpha$, where $a \in \xi_o$, for $\bar{k} = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, the theoretical discussion is not generally true everywhere.

Theorem 3.9: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is a fuzzy Hausdorff space and ξ, Ω are fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{S}$ when $\xi_o, \Omega_o \subset \mathcal{S}$. If ξ and Ω are disjoint $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compacts in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, after that there exist $e, z \in \rho$ such that $\xi_o \subseteq e^{-1}(0, 1]$, $\Omega_o \subseteq z^{-1}(0, 1]$ and $e \cap z = 0$. Conversely, it is not true everywhere.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{d} \in \xi_o$. So, it is clear that $\mathcal{d} \notin \Omega_o$, as ξ and Ω are disjoint. Since, Ω is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in fuzzy Hausdorff space $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, then by theorem (3.8), there exists $e_{\mathcal{d}}, z_{\mathcal{d}} \in \rho$ such that $e_{\mathcal{d}}(\mathcal{d}) > 0$ and $\Omega_o \subseteq z_{\mathcal{d}}^{-1}(0, 1]$ and $e_{\mathcal{d}} \cap z_{\mathcal{d}} = 0$. Since, $e_{\mathcal{d}}(\mathcal{d}) > 0$ and ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, then it is clear that

$\sum_{\mathcal{d}} e_{\mathcal{d}}(\mathcal{d}) \geq \alpha$ for all $\mathcal{d} \in \xi_o$ and hence, $\{e_{\mathcal{d}} : \mathcal{d} \in \xi_o\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ and so $\{e_{\mathcal{d}} : \mathcal{d} \in \xi_o\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{e_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}} : \mathcal{d} \in \xi_o\} (\bar{k} \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ such that $\sum_{\mathcal{d}} e_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}}(\mathcal{d}) \geq \alpha (\bar{k} \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ for all $\mathcal{d} \in \xi_o$. Again, since Ω is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, so $\{z_{\mathcal{d}} : \mathcal{g} \in \Omega_o\}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{z_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}} : \mathcal{g} \in \Omega_o\} (\bar{k} \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ such that $\sum_{\mathcal{d}} z_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}}(\mathcal{g}) \geq \alpha (\bar{k} \in \mathcal{J}_n)$ for all $\mathcal{g} \in \Omega_o$, as $\Omega_o \subseteq z_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}}^{-1}(o, 1]$ because of each $\bar{k}$. Now, suppose $e = e_{\mathcal{d}_1} \cup e_{\mathcal{d}_2} \cup \dots \cup e_{\mathcal{d}_n}$ and $z = z_{\mathcal{d}_1} \cap z_{\mathcal{d}_2} \cap \dots \cap z_{\mathcal{d}_n}$. Hence, we observe that $\xi_o \subseteq e^{-1}(o, 1]$ and $\Omega_o \subseteq z^{-1}(o, 1]$. Thus $e, z \in \rho$, as e and z are union and the finite intersection of open fuzzy sets serially.

Finally, we will demonstrate that $e \cap z = o$. At the beginning, we notice that $e_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}} \cap z_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}} = o$ owing to each $\bar{k} \Rightarrow e_{\mathcal{d}_{\bar{k}}} \cap z = o$, by distributive law, we have $e \cap z = (e_{\mathcal{d}_1} \cup e_{\mathcal{d}_2} \cup \dots \cup e_{\mathcal{d}_n}) \cap z = o$.

To observe the reverse, presume fuzzy Hausdorff space in the context of the theorem (3.8). Presume $\xi, \Omega \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ defined with $\xi(a) = 0.00$, $\xi(b) = 0.09$ and $\Omega(a) = 0.08$, $\Omega(b) = 0.00$, then $\xi_o = \{b\}$, $\Omega_o = \{a\}$. Now $e_1, e_2 \in \rho$, because of $e_1^{-1}(o, 1] = \{a\}$ and $e_2^{-1}(o, 1] = \{b\}$. Thus, we see that $\xi_o \subseteq e_2^{-1}(o, 1]$, $\Omega_o \subseteq e_1^{-1}(o, 1]$ and $e_1 \cap e_2 = o$, where ξ and Ω are disjoint. Choose $\alpha = 0.07$. Hence, we notice that ξ and Ω are not $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compacts in $(\mathcal{S}, \rho)$, since $\sum_{\bar{k}} e_{\bar{k}}(b) < \alpha$, where $b \in \xi_o$ and $\sum_{\bar{k}} e_{\bar{k}}(a) < \alpha$, where $a \in \Omega_o$ because of $\bar{k} = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, the theorem's opposite is not always true.

Theorem 3.10: Presume $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a topological space, $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$ is an fts, along with ξ is a fuzzy set in $\mathcal{S}$ where $\xi_o \subset \mathcal{S}$. If ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$, after that ξ_o is compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$. On the contrary, it is not right everywhere.

Proof: Assume ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$. Presume the open cover of ξ_o in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$. After that for each, $\mathcal{A}_i$ there is a $b_i \in \omega(\mathcal{V})$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i = b_i^{-1}(o, 1]$. Thus, $\mathcal{E} = \{b_i^{-1}(o, 1] : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$. But, the collection $\mathcal{F} = \{b_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an open $s\Sigma\alpha$ -shelter of ξ in $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$ i.e. $\sum_i b_i(\mathcal{g}) \geq \alpha$

for all $g \in \xi_o$. Since, $\mathcal{E}$ is an open cover of ξ_o in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$, then there is $\mathcal{A}_{i_o} \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $g \in \mathcal{A}_{i_o}$. But $\mathcal{A}_{i_o} = \mathcal{b}_{i_o}^{-1}(0, 1]$ for some $\mathcal{b}_{i_o} \in \omega(\mathcal{V})$. Thus, $g \in \mathcal{b}_{i_o}^{-1}(0, 1]$ which shows that $\mathcal{b}_{i_o}(g) > 0$ and hence, $\sum_i \mathcal{b}_{i_o}(g) \geq \alpha$. Since, ξ is $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$, therefore, $\mathcal{F}$ has a finite $s\Sigma\alpha$ -subshelter, say $\{\mathcal{b}_{i_k} : k \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$. Thus, $\{\mathcal{b}_{i_k}^{-1}(0, 1] : k \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$ construct a finite subcover of $\mathcal{E}$ and so ξ_o is compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$.

We provide the following example to illustrate the opposite.

Presume $\mathcal{S} = \{a, b, c\}$, $I = [0, 1]$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Consider $\mathcal{V} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \phi, \mathcal{S}\}$. After that $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a topological space. Again, presume $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by $e_1(a) = 0.03$, $e_1(b) = 0.00$, $e_1(c) = 0.00$; $e_2(a) = 0.00$, $e_2(b) = 0.02$, $e_2(c) = 0.00$ and $e_3(a) = 0.03$, $e_3(b) = 0.02$, $e_3(c) = 0.00$. So, $\omega(\mathcal{V}) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, 0, 1\}$ and $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$ is an fts. Furthermore, presume $\xi \in I^{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined with $\xi(a) = 0.08$, $\xi(b) = 0.09$, $\xi(c) = 0.00$, after that $\xi_o = \{a, b\}$. Clearly ξ_o is compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$. Now, choose $\alpha = 0.07$. So, ξ is not $s\Sigma\alpha$ -compact in $(\mathcal{S}, \omega(\mathcal{V}))$, as $\sum_{\bar{k}} e_{\bar{k}}(a) < \alpha$ where $a \in \xi_o$, because of $\bar{k} = 1, 2, 3$. So the contrary of the theorem is not right everywhere.

Conflict of Interest

With regard to this paper, no conflicts of interest exist at this time.

References

- I. Abbas, S. E. "On intuitionistic fuzzy compactness." *Information Sciences* 173.1-3 (2005): 75-91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2004.07.004>
- II. Aygün, Halis. " α -compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 116.3 (2000): 317-324. [10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00345-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00345-5)
- III. Benchalli, S. S., and G. P. Siddapur. "On the level spaces of fuzzy topological spaces." *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 1.2 (2009): 57-65. <https://eudml.org/doc/229234>

- IV. Chadwick, J. J. "A generalised form of compactness in fuzzy topological spaces." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 162.1 (1991): 92-110. 10.1016/0022-247X(91)90180-8
- V. Chang, Chin-Liang. "Fuzzy topological spaces." *Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications* 24.1 (1968): 182-190. 10.1016/0022-247X(68)90057-7
- VI. Chen, Yixiang. "On compactness of induced I (L)-fuzzy topological spaces." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 88.3 (1997): 373-378. 10.1016/S0165-0114(96)00074-7
- VII. Dongsheng, Zhao. "The N-compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 128.1 (1987): 64-79. 10.1016/0022-247x(87)90214-9
- VIII. EL-Shafei, M. E., I. M. Hanafy, and A. I. Aggour. "RS-Compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces." *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 42.2 (2002): 417-428.
- IX. Gantner, T. E., R. C. Steinlage, and R. H. Warren. "Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 62.3 (1978): 547-562. 10.1016/0022-247X(78)90148-8
- X. Georgiou, D. N., and B. K. Papadopoulos. "On fuzzy compactness." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 233.1 (1999): 86-101. 10.1006/jmaa.1999.6268
- XI. Guojun, Wang. "A new fuzzy compactness defined by fuzzy nets." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 94.1 (1983): 1-23. 10.1016/0022-247X(83)90002-1
- XII. Hong, Woo Chorl. "RS-compact spaces." *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society* 17.1 (1980): 39-43.
- XIII. Katsaras, A. K. "Ordered fuzzy topological spaces." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 84.1 (1981): 44-58. 10.1016/0022-247X(81)90150-5
- XIV. Kim, J. K. " α -compact fuzzy topological spaces." *Korean Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 1 (1994): 79-84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02943052>
- XV. Kudri, S. R. T. "Compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 67.3 (1994): 329-336. 10.1016/0165-0114(94)90260-7
- XVI. Kudri, S. R. T., and M. W. Warner. "RS-compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 86.3 (1997): 369-376. 10.1016/S0165-0114(95)00405-X
- XVII. Li, Hong-Yan, and Fu-Gui Shi. "Degrees of fuzzy compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces." *Fuzzy sets and systems* 161.7 (2010): 988-1001. 10.1016/j.fss.2009.10.012

- XVIII. Lipschutz, Seymour. "General Topology, Schaum's Outline Series." (1965).
- XIX. Lowen, R. "Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness." *Journal of Mathematical analysis and applications* 56.3 (1976): 621-633. 10.1016/0022-247X(76)90029-9
- XX. Lowen, Robert. "Initial and final fuzzy topologies and the fuzzy Tychonoff theorem." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 58.1 (1977): 11-21. 10.1016/0022-247X(77)90223-2
- XXI. Lowen, Robert. "A comparison of different compactness notions in fuzzy topological spaces." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 64.2 (1978): 446-454. 10.1016/0022-247X(78)90052-5
- XXII. Lowen, R. "Compactness properties in the fuzzy real line." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 13.2 (1984): 193-200. 10.1016/0165-0114(84)90019-8
- XXIII. Mahbub, Aman, Sahadat Hossain, and M. Altab Hossain. "Some properties of compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces." *International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematical Archive* 16.2 (2018): 39-48. DOI: 10.22457/ijfma.v16n1a6
- XXIV. Mashhour, A. S., M. H. Ghanim, and MA Fath Alla. " α -separation axioms and α -compactness in fuzzy topological spaces." *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 16.3(1986): 591-600. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44237017>
- XXV. Meenakshi, Arunachalam R., and Muniasamy Kaliraja. "g-inverses of interval valued fuzzy matrices." *Journal of Mathematical & Fundamental Sciences* 45.1 (2013): 83-92. 10.5614/j.math.fund.sci.2013.45.1.7
- XXVI. Murdeshwar, Mangesh G. "General topology, Wiley" (1983).
- XXVII. Noiri, Takashi. "On RS-compact spaces." *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society* 22.1 (1985): 19-34.
- XXVIII. Pao-Ming, Pu, and Liu Ying-Ming. "Fuzzy topology. I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 76.2 (1980): 571-599. 10.1016/0022-247X(80)90048-7
- XXIX. Saha, Apu Kumar, and Debasish Bhattacharya. "Countable fuzzy topological space and countable fuzzy topological vector space." *Journal of Mathematical & Fundamental Sciences* 47.2 (2015): 154-166. 10.5614/j.math.fund.sci.2015.47.2.4
- XXX. Shi, Fu-Gui. "A new form of fuzzy α -compactness." *Mathematica Bohemica* 131.1 (2006): 15-28.
- XXXI. Shi, Fu-Gui. "A new definition of fuzzy compactness." *Fuzzy sets and systems* 158.13 (2007): 1486-1495. 10.1016/j.fss.2007.02.006
- XXXII. Talukder, M. A. M., and D. M. Ali. "Certain features of partially α -compact fuzzy sets." *Journal of Mechanics of Continua and Mathematical Sciences* 9.1 (2014): 1322-1340. 10.26782/jmcms.2014.07.00005

- XXXIII. Warner, M. W., and R. G. McLean. "On compact Hausdoff L-fuzzy spaces." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 56.1 (1993): 103-110. 10.1016/0165-0114(93)90190-S
- XXXIV. Warner, M. W. "On fuzzy compactness." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 80.1 (1996): 15-22. 10.1016/0165-0114(95)00132-8
- XXXV. Wong, C. K. "Fuzzy topology: product and quotient theorems." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 45.2 (1974): 512-521. 10.1016/0022-247X(74)90090-0
- XXXVI. Ying, Mingsheng. "A new approach for fuzzy topology (I)." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 39.3 (1991): 303-321. 10.1016/0165-0114(91)90100-5
- XXXVII. Ying, Mingsheng. "Compactness in fuzzifying topology." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 55.1 (1993): 79-92. 10.1016/0165-0114(93)90303-Y.
- XXXVIII. Zadeh, L. A. "Fuzzy Sets." *Information and Control* 8.3 (1965):338-353. 10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X