



SOME EXTENDED MUTUAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE CONVOLUTIONS TRANSFORM

A. K. Thakur¹, Amar Pandey², Hetram Suryawanshi³, Anjali Dubey⁴

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bsp. (C.G) India.

³ Department of Mathematics, Vishwavidyalaya Engineering College, (C.G.), India.

⁴ R. P. Nagar, Phase-1. Korba, (C.G.), India.

Email: drakthakurmath@gmail.com, amar4march@gmail.com,
hetram.surya@gmail.com, anjalidubey3006@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: **Amarnath Kumar Thakur**

<https://doi.org/10.26782/jmcms.2024.00.00011>

(Received: June 11, 2024; Revised: July 29, 2024; Accepted: August 10, 2024)

Abstract

In this paper, we establish several interesting mutual relationships between two integral transforms of convolutions transform have been established.

Keywords: Convolution Theorem, Inverse Laplace Transform, Laplace Transform.

I. Introduction

Sometimes we need to determine the inverse Laplace transform of the product of two functions. As in differential and integral calculus, when the derivative and integral of the product of two functions do not yield the product of the derivative and integral respectively, nor does the inverse Laplace transform of the product yield the product of inverse Laplace transforms. The convolution theorem tells us how to calculate the inverse Laplace transform of the product of two functions. Integral transforms can be played to display colorful results in various ways. The mutual relationships between two integral transforms are no exceptions to it. These can be exploited to yield results of appreciable nature. We have enchased these in establishing recurrence relations in this paper. Several mutual relationships between two integral transforms have been established [I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, and XII].

In this section, we have considered the transforms of the following types:

$$\int_0^x h_1(x-t)h_2(t) dt \quad (1.1)$$

$$\int_x^\infty h_1(t-x)h_2(t) dt \quad (1.2)$$

$$\int_y^x h_1(x-t)h_2(t-y)dt \quad (1.3)$$

A. K. Thakur et al

Definition: The Convolution Theorem: Suppose that $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are piecewise continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and both are of exponential order. Further, suppose that the Laplace transform of $f(t)$ is $F(s)$ and that of $g(t)$ is $G(s)$, Then

$$L^{-1}\{F(s).G(s)\} = L^{-1}\{L\{(f * g)(t)\}\} = \int_0^t (t-v)g(v)dv. \quad (1.4)$$

Here, $(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t (t-v)g(v)dv$ is called the convolution integral.

II. Some Extended Mutual Relationships between the Convolutions Transform

In this section, we develop some extended results of mutual relationships between the convolution transform.

Theorem 1: If $g(x)$ is continuous in $0 \leq x < \infty$

$$f_1(x) = \int_x^\infty e^{\frac{1}{2(\alpha+\beta)(t-x)}} [D_{2\lambda+1} \{-2\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} - D_{2\lambda+1} [2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}] g(t) dt \quad (2.1)$$

$$f_2(x) = \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)(t-x)} [D_{2\lambda+1} \{-2\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} - D_{2\lambda} [2^{\frac{1}{2}}\{2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}]] g(t) dt \quad (2.2)$$

then we get

$$f_1(x) = (-\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_x^\infty e^{\beta(t-x)} f_2(t) dt.$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \int_x^\infty e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)(t-x)} [D_{2\lambda+1} \{-2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} - D_{2\lambda+1} [2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}] g(t) dt \\ f_2(x) &= \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)(t-x)} [D_{2\lambda+1} \{-2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} + D_{2\lambda} [2^{\frac{1}{2}}\{2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}]] g(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Taking the Laplace transform of the above equations using the following Laplace results:

- (i) $\int_x^\infty f_1(x-t)f_2(t)dt = \overline{g_1}(-p)g_2(p)$,
where, $\overline{g_1}(-p) = \int_0^\infty f_1(t-x)e^{px}dx$.
- (ii) $2^{-(\lambda+1)}\pi^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)tx} \{ D_{2\lambda} [-2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}] + D_{2\lambda} [2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}] \} \right] = (p-\alpha)^\lambda (p-\beta)^{-(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})}.$

$$(iii) \quad 2^{-(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})} \pi^{-1} (\alpha - \beta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)t} [D_{2\lambda+1}[-2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}}] - D_{2\lambda+1}[2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}}]] \right] = (p - \alpha)^{\lambda} (p - \beta)^{-(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

Finally equation (2.1) and (2.2) becomes

$$\Rightarrow F_1(p) = \frac{2^{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \pi (\alpha - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)} (p + \alpha)^{\lambda} (p + \beta)^{-(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})} G(p)$$

and

$$F_2(p) = \frac{-2^{\lambda+1} \pi (-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)} (p + \alpha)^{\lambda} (p + \beta)^{-(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})} G(p)$$

where, $f_n(x) \doteq F_n(p)$ $n = 1, 2$ and $g(x) \doteq G(p)$ on eliminating $G(p)$ from their equations.

Using the invention of the Laplace results:

$$\int_x^\infty f_1(x-t) f_2(t) dt = \bar{g}_1(-s) \cdot g_2(s), \text{ where } \bar{g}_1(-s) = \int_0^\infty f_1(t-x) e^{sx} dx$$

and

$$t^{v-1} e^{-at}, \operatorname{Re} v > 0 = \Gamma(v) \cdot (s + a)^{-v}, \operatorname{Re} s > -\operatorname{Re} a.$$

We get the required results:

$$F_1(P) = (-\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (-p - \beta)^{-1} F_2(p).$$

Theorem 2: If $g(x) \in C$ in $0 \leq x < \infty, \operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1, \operatorname{Re} \beta > -1$ and

$$f_1(x) = \int_x^\infty (t-x)^\alpha L_n^\alpha(t-x) g(t) dt \quad (2.3)$$

$$f_2(x) = L_n^\beta(t-x)^\beta g(t) dt \quad (2.4)$$

then we get

$$f_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1} f_2(t) dt.$$

Proof: Taking the Laplace transform of the above equation (2.3) and (2.4) and using the

known Laplace results:

$$t^v, \operatorname{Re} v > -1 \Rightarrow \Gamma(v+s) s^{-(v+1)}, \quad \operatorname{Re} s > 0.$$

$$t^\alpha L_n^\alpha(t) \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > -1 \Rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{n!} - \frac{(s-1)^n}{s^{(\alpha+n+1)}}, \operatorname{Re} s > 0$$

Finally, the equation (2.3) and (2.4), becomes after simplifying

$$f_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1} f_2(t) dt.$$

A. K. Thakur et al

Theorem 3: If a and b are real's, m and n , are non-negative integers

$$Re \alpha > -1; Re(n + \alpha) > Re m$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^\alpha L_n^\alpha(t-x) g(t-y) dt. \quad (2.5)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} J_n\{a(x-t)\} g(t-y) dt \quad (2.6)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_y^x e^{(b+1)(x-t)} (x-t)^{n-1} f_1(t, y) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n \cdot \Gamma(n + \alpha - m)} a^{-m} \sum_{r=0}^m \left(\frac{m}{r}\right) \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{n+\alpha-m-1} \\ & {}_1F_2\left\{\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1); \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m), \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m+1); -\frac{1}{4}a^2(x-t)^2\right\} f_2(t-y) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Subjecting the transform (2.5) and (2.6) to the substitutions

$$t - y = z \text{ and } x - y = u, \text{ we get}$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_0^u e^{b(u-z)} (u-z)^\alpha L_n^\alpha(u-z) g(z) dz = \phi_1(u) \text{ (say)} \quad (2.7)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_0^u e^{b(u-z)} J_m\{a(u-z)\} g(z) dz = \phi_2(u) \text{ (say)} \quad (2.8)$$

Let $\phi_k(u) = \phi_k(s)$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $g(x) = G$

Taking the Laplace transform of (2.7) and (2.8), using the Laplace results:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t f_1(u) \cdot f_2(t-u) du = g_1(\beta), g_2(\beta), \\ & t^\alpha e^{\lambda t} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ n \end{matrix} \right] (kt), Re \alpha > -1 = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{n!} - \frac{(p-k-\alpha)^n}{(p-\lambda)^{\alpha+n+1}} Re(p-\lambda) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_n(\alpha t), Re \nu > -1 = r^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^\nu, Re p > \left| \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ m \end{matrix} \right] \right|, r = (p^2 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, R = p + r$$

We get

$$\overline{\phi_1}(p) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{n!} \frac{(p-b-1)^n}{(p-b)^{\alpha+n+1}} G(p)$$

and

$$\overline{\phi_2}(p) = a^m \{(p-b)^2 + a^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} [(p-b) + \{(p-b)^2 + a^2\}]^{-m} G(p)$$

The $G(p)$, eliminating these two equations gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\overline{\phi_1}(p)}{\overline{\phi_2}(p)} &= \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} \cdot \frac{(p - b - 1)^n}{(p - b)^{\alpha+n+1}} \{(p - b)^2 a^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} [(p - b) + \{(p - b)^2 \\
 &\quad + a^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}]^m \\
 \Rightarrow (s - b - 1)^n \overline{\phi_2}(p) &\frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} \frac{[(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}}{(p - b)^{\alpha+n+1}} \left[1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{(p - b)}{\{(p - b)^2 + a^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^m \overline{\phi_2}(p) = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} \frac{[(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}}{(p - b)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\
 \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} &\left[\frac{(p - b)}{[(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^r \overline{\phi_2}(p) \\
 &= \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} (p - b)^{-\alpha-n-1+r} \{(p - b)^2 \\
 &\quad + a^2\}^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2}} \overline{\phi_2}(p) \\
 \Rightarrow (p - b - 1)^{-n} \overline{\phi_1}(p) &= \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} (p - b)^{-2 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n+1-r)} \{(p - b)^2 \\
 &\quad + a^2\}^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1)} \overline{\phi_2}(p)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using [III] results of integral transform;

$$t^{\nu-1} e^{-\alpha t} \operatorname{Re} \nu > 0 = [(\nu)(p + \alpha)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re} p > -\operatorname{Re} \alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[[(2 \times + 2\nu)]^{-1} t^{2\lambda + 2\nu - 1} \times, F_2 [\nu: \lambda + \nu, \lambda + \nu + \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 t^2] \\
 &= s^{-2\lambda} (p^2 + \alpha^2)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re}(\nu + \lambda) > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives an invention results

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^u e^{(b+1)(u-z)} (u - z)^{n-1} \phi_1(z) dz = \\
 \Rightarrow (p - b - 1)^{-n} \overline{\phi_1}(p) &= \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} [(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \\
 &\left[1 + \frac{(p - b)}{[(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^m \overline{\phi_2}(p) \\
 &= \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n! \cdot a^m} \cdot \frac{[(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{(p - b)^{[(\alpha+n+1)]}} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} \left[\frac{(p - b)}{[(p - b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^r \overline{\phi_2}(p)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{[(\alpha+n+1)]}{n! \ a^m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} (p-b)^{-\alpha-n-1+r} [(p-b)^2 + a^2]^{\frac{m+1-r}{2}} \bar{\phi}_2(p) \\
 &\Rightarrow (p-b-1)^{-n} \bar{\phi}_1(p) \\
 &= \frac{[(\alpha+n+1)]}{n! \ a^m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} (p-b)^{-2+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n+1-r)} [(p-b)^2 + a^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1)} \bar{\phi}_2(p)
 \end{aligned}$$

On inversion, in the light of the results

$$t^{\nu-1} e^{-\alpha t} \operatorname{Re} \nu > 0 = \Gamma(\nu) \cdot (p+\alpha)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re} p > -\operatorname{Re} \alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[(2\lambda+2\nu)]^{-1} t^{2\lambda+2\nu} \times {}_1F_2 \left[\nu; \lambda+\nu; \lambda+\nu+\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{4} a^2 t^2 \right] \\
 &= s^{-2\lambda} (p^2 a^2)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re}(\nu+\lambda) > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

If gives the inversion

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^u e^{(b+1)(u-z)} (u-z)^{n-1} \phi_1(z) dz \\
 &= \frac{[(\alpha+n+1)]}{[(\alpha+n-m)]} a^{-m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} \int_0^u e^{b(u-z)} (u-z)^{n+\alpha-m-1} {}_1F_2 \left[\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n-m), \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m+1); -\frac{1}{4} a^2 (u-z)^2 \right] \phi_2(z) dz
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

This on restoring original furnishes the required reset.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \int_0^u e^{-(b+1)z} (u-z)^{n-1} \phi_1(z) dz = \frac{[(\alpha+n+1)] a^{-m}}{n! \cdot [(\alpha+n-m)]} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} \\
 &\int_0^u e^{-bz} (u-z)^{n+\alpha-m-1} {}_1F_2 \left[\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n-m), \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m+1); -\frac{1}{4} a^2 (u-z)^2 \right] \phi_2(z) dz
 \end{aligned}$$

Which on being streamed through n-times differentiation w.r.t. u by Leibnitz rule;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_1(u) &= \frac{e^{(b+1)u} \cdot [(\alpha+n+1)] a^{-m}}{n! \cdot [(\alpha+n-m)]} \cdot \left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^n \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} e^{-u} \int_0^u e^{-ba} (u-z)^{n+\alpha-m-1} \\
 &{}_1F_2 \left[\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n-m), \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m+1); -\frac{1}{4} a^2 (u-z)^2 \right] \phi_2(z) dz
 \end{aligned}$$

A. K. Thakur et al

$$\Rightarrow f_1(x, y) = \frac{e^{(b+1)(x-y)} [(\alpha + n + 1)a^m]}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha - m)} D^n \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} e^{-(x-y)}$$

$$\int_y^x e^{-b(t-y)} (x-t)^{n+\alpha-m-1} {}_1F_2 \left[\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1); \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m), \frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-m+1); -\frac{1}{4}a^2(x-t)^2 \right] \phi_2(z) dz$$

On restoring to original variables, where $D = \frac{d}{d(x-y)}$.

Theorem 4: If a and b are real's, m and n are non-negative integers

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1, \quad \operatorname{Re}(n + \alpha) > \operatorname{Re} m,$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^\alpha L_n^\alpha(t-x) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.10)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} I_m(xa - ta) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.11)$$

Proof: The proof is quite similar to that of above Theorem 3, but the following operational results used

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\nu-1} e^{-\alpha t}, \operatorname{Re} \nu > 0 &= \Gamma(\nu), (s + \alpha)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re} \nu > -\operatorname{Re} \alpha, \\ t^\alpha e^{\lambda t} \left[\frac{\alpha}{n} (kt), \operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1 \right] &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{n!} - \frac{(s-1)^n}{s^{(n+\alpha+1)}}, \operatorname{Re} s > 0 \\ I_\nu(\alpha t), \operatorname{Re} \nu > -1 &= a^\nu e^{-1} p^{-1} \operatorname{Re} p > |\operatorname{Re} \alpha| \\ [(\lambda + 2\nu)]^{-1} t^{2\nu+2\lambda-1} \times [v; \lambda + 2\nu;] &= \frac{\lambda + \nu + 1}{2}; \\ \frac{-1}{4} \alpha^2 t^2 &= s^{-2\lambda} (s^2 + \alpha^2)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re}(\nu + \lambda) > 0 \\ \Rightarrow f_1(x, y) &= \frac{e^{(b+1)(x-y)} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1) a^{-m}}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha - M)} d^n \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-(x-y)} \int_y^x e^{-b(t-y)} (x-t)^{(n+\alpha-m-1)} {}_1F_2 \left[\frac{1}{2}(r-m-1); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n-m), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+n-m+1); \frac{1}{4}a^2(x-t)^2 \right] f_2(t-y) dt, \text{ which } D \equiv \frac{d}{d(x-y)}.$$

Theorem 5: If n is non-negative integer, $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \operatorname{Re} (2m) > -1,$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^\alpha L_n^\alpha(t-x) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.12)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^m I_m(ax - at) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.13)$$

Then

$$f_1(x, y) = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{(2a)^m \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{(-1)^r}{r! (n-r)!} [(\alpha - 2m + r)]^{-1} \\ \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{\alpha-2m+r-1} {}_1F_2 \left[-\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 2m + r), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r - 1 - 2m); -\frac{1}{4}a^2(x-t)^2 \right] f_2(t, y) dt$$

Proof: The proof is quite similar to that of above Theorem 4, but the following operational results used

$$t^\alpha e^{\lambda t} \left[\frac{\alpha}{n} (kt), \operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1 \right] = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1) (s - k - \lambda)^n]}{n! (s - \lambda)^{\alpha+n+1}}, \operatorname{Re}(s - \lambda) > 0$$

$$t^\nu J_\nu(at), \operatorname{Re} \nu > \frac{-1}{2} = 2^\nu \pi^{\frac{-1}{2}} \left[\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) a^\nu r^{-(2\nu+1)} \operatorname{Res} > |I_{m\alpha}| \right]$$

and

$$[(2\nu + 2\lambda)]^{-1} t^{2\nu+2\lambda-1} \times = s^{-2\lambda} (s^2 + a^2)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re}(\nu + \lambda) > 0 \\ {}_1F_2 \left[\nu; \lambda + \nu, \lambda + \nu + \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{4}a^2 t^2 \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(x, y) = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1) \cdot \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{(2a)^m \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{(-1)^r}{r! (n-r)!} [(\alpha - 2m + r)]^{-1} \\ \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{\alpha-2m+r-1} {}_1F_2 \left[-\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 2m + r), \right. \\ \left. -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r + 1 - 2m); -\frac{1}{4}a^2(x-t)^2 \right] f_2(t, y) dt.$$

Theorem 6: If a and b are reals, n is a non-negative integer,

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \operatorname{Re}(2m) > -1.$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^\alpha \left[\frac{\alpha}{n} (x-t), g(t-y) \right] dt \quad (2.14)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^m I_m(ax - at) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.15)$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1) \cdot \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{(2a)^m \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r}{r! (n-r)!} [(\alpha - 2m + r)]^{-1} \\ \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{\alpha-2m+r-1} {}_1F_2 \left[-\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r - 2m), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r + 1 - 2m); \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{4}a^2(x-t)^2 \right] \cdot f_2(t, y) dt.$$

A. K. Thakur et al

Proof: Here the proof is traditional and the operational results exploited are

$$t^\alpha e^{\lambda t} \left[{}_n^\alpha (kt), \operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1 \right] = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n!} - \frac{(p - k - \lambda)^n}{(p - \lambda)^{\alpha + n + 1}}, \operatorname{Re}(p - \lambda) > 0$$

$$t^\nu J_n(at), \operatorname{Re} \nu > \frac{-1}{2} = 2^\nu \pi^{\frac{-1}{2}} \left[\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) a^\nu r^{-(2\nu+1)} \operatorname{Re} p > |I_m \alpha| \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [[(2\lambda + 2\nu)]^{-1} t^{2\lambda + 2\nu - 1} \times {}_1F_2 \left[\nu; \lambda + \nu; \lambda + \nu + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{-1}{4} a^2 t^2 \right] \\ & = s^{-2\lambda} (p^2 + a^2)^{-\nu}, \operatorname{Re}(\nu + \lambda) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(x, y) = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)] \cdot \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2a)^m \left[(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right]} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r}{r! (n-r)!} [[(\alpha - 2m + r)]^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{\alpha-2m+r-1} {}_1F_2 \left[-\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r - 2m), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r + 1 \right. \\ & \left. - 2m); \frac{1}{4} a^2 (x-t)^2 \right] f_2(t-y) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7: If a is real, n is a positive integer, n

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1, \quad \operatorname{Re} m > -1, \quad g(x) \in c \text{ in } 0 \leq x < \infty$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x (x-t)^\alpha \left[{}_n^\alpha (x-t) g(t-y) dt \right] \quad (2.16)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x (x-t)^{\frac{m}{2}} I_m(x-t) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.17)$$

then

$$\int_y^x e^{(x-t)} (x-t)^{n-1} f_1(t-y) dt = \frac{[(\alpha + n + 1)]}{n \cdot a^{\frac{1}{2}} (n + \alpha + k - 1)}$$

$$\int_y^x (x-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + n + s - 1)} I_{n+\alpha+s-1} \left\{ 2\sqrt{(ax - at)} \right\} D^k f_2(t, y) dt$$

Where $m = k-s$, k being a non-negative integer and $0 \leq s > 1$ and $D \equiv \frac{d}{dt}$.

Proof: The transform (2.16) and (2.17) on being processed through the substitutions employed in the result

If $E_n(x^a)$ a met tag-Lefflev;s function $a > 1, g(x) \in c$ in $0 \leq x < \infty$ and

$$f(x, y) = \int_y^x E_a(x-t)^a g(t-y) dt$$

then

$$g(x-y) = df(x, y) - [[(a-1)]^{-1} \int_y^x (x-t)^{a-2} f(t, y) dt$$

Where $D \equiv \frac{d}{dt}$, $u = (x - y)$

If the result

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_0^u (u - z)^\alpha \Big|_n^\alpha (u - z)g(z)dz = \phi_1(u) \text{ say} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_0^u (u - z)^{\frac{m}{2}} I_m(2\sqrt{(au - az)})g(z)dz = \phi_2(u) \text{ d(say)} \quad (2.19)$$

These transform in the light of the convolutions theorem and the operational results

$$t^\alpha \Big|_n^\alpha (t), \text{Re}(\alpha) > -1 = \frac{[(n + \alpha + 1)]}{n!} - \frac{(p - 1)^n}{p^{(n+\alpha+1)}}, \text{Re } p > 0$$

and

$$t^{\frac{v}{2}} J_v \left(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \alpha^{\frac{v}{2}} \bar{p}^{(v+1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{s}}, \text{Re } p > 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(p) = \frac{[(n + \alpha + 1)]}{n!} - \frac{(p - 1)^n}{p^{n+\alpha+1}} G(p)$$

and

$$\phi_2(p) = a^{\frac{m}{2}} p^{-m-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} G(p), \text{Re } p > 0.$$

The $G(p)$ eliminates of these

$$(p - 1)^n \phi_1(p) = \frac{[(n+\alpha+1)]}{n!} e^{\frac{a}{p}} p^{-n-\alpha-s} p^{-k} \phi_2(p) \quad (2.20)$$

Where k is the least non-negative integer no less than m ,

$$0 \leq p < 1 \text{ and } m = k - p.$$

Now the lowest degree of $(u-z)$ in the expansion of $(u - z)^{\frac{m}{2}} I_m \left(2\sqrt{(au - az)} \right)$ is m

Hence if $k > 0$, $\phi_2(u)$ and its $(k-1)$ d.c. w.r.t. u vanish at $(u-z)$.

Also as $(x) \in c$. We have $\phi_2(u) \in c^k$.

$$D^k \phi_2(u) = \frac{k}{s} \phi_2(p)$$

The inversion(2.20) in the light of the result

$$t^{\frac{v}{2}} I_v \left(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \text{Re } v - 1 = \alpha^{\frac{v}{2}} \bar{p}^{-(v+1)} e^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}, \text{Re } p > 0, P = (p^2 - \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} S = s + p$$

$$\int_0^u e^{(u-z)} (u - z)^{n-1} \phi_1(z) dz = \frac{[(n+\alpha+1)]}{n a^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_0^u \frac{(u-z)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+P-1)}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+P-1)}} I_n + \alpha + P -$$

$$1 \left(2\sqrt{(au - az)} \right) D^k \phi_2(z) dz.$$

This required results in restoring to the original variables.

A. K. Thakur et al

Theorem 8: If n is a positive integer,

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1, \quad \operatorname{Re} u > -1; \quad a, b > 0, \quad g(x) \in c \text{ in } 0 \leq x < \infty$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x (x-t)^\alpha \left[{}_n^\alpha (x-t) g(t-y) dt \right] \quad (2.21)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x (x-t)^{\frac{m}{2}} I_m \left(2\sqrt{(ax-at)} g(t-y) dt \right) \quad (2.22)$$

Then

$$\int_y^x e^{(x-t)} (x-t)^{n-1} f_1(t, y) dt = \frac{[(n+\alpha+1)]}{n a^{\frac{-1}{2}} (n+\alpha+k-1)}$$

$$\int_y^x (x-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+p-1)} I_{n+\alpha+p-1} 2\sqrt{(ax-at)} D^k f_2(t, y) dt$$

Where $m = k - p$, k being a non-negative integer and

$$0 \leq p < 1, \quad \frac{d}{dt} = D.$$

The proof & is quite similar to that of the preview theorem,

Here we use the operational results that need

$$t^{\frac{v}{2}} I_v \left(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \operatorname{Re} v > -1 = \alpha^{\frac{v}{2}} p^{-(v+1)} e^{\frac{\alpha}{s}}, \operatorname{Re} p > 0 \quad (2.23)$$

Where, $sp = (p^2 - \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Rep} \emptyset(s) = p + s$

$$t^\alpha \left[{}_n^\alpha (t) \right], \operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1 = \frac{[(\alpha+n+1)]}{[n]} - \frac{(p-1)^n}{p^{(\alpha+n+1)}}, \operatorname{Re}(p-\lambda) > 0 \quad (2.24)$$

$$t^{\frac{v}{2}} I_v \left(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \alpha^{\frac{v}{2}} p^{-(v+1)} e^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}, \operatorname{Rep} > 0 \operatorname{Re} v > -1,$$

We get the inversion result of (2.23) and (2.24) becomes

$$\int_y^x e^{(x-t)} (x-t)^{n-1} f_1(t, y) dt = \frac{[(n+\alpha+1)]}{n. e^{\frac{-1}{2}(n+\alpha+k-1)}} \int_y^x (x-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+s-1)} I_{n+\alpha+s-1} \left(2\sqrt{(ax-at)} D^K f_2(t, y) dt \right).$$

Theorem 9: If a and b are real, m and n are non-negative integers,

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1, \operatorname{Re} m > -1, \quad a > 0, \quad g(x) \in c \text{ in } 0 \leq x < \infty$$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^\alpha \left[{}_n^\alpha [K(x-t)] g(t-y) dt \right] \quad (2.25)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^m I_m(ax-at) g(t-y) dt \quad (2.26)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_y^x e^{(b+k)(x-t)} (x-t)^{n-1} f_1(t, y) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n e^m \Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{r=0}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{r}\right) \frac{a^{2r-m}}{\Gamma(2r + \alpha + n - 2m)} \\ & \quad \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{2r+\alpha+n-2m} f_2(t, y) dt \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We have the operational results exploited here

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{\nu-1} e^{\alpha t}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0 = \Gamma(\nu) \cdot (p + \alpha)^{-\nu}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(p) > -\operatorname{Re} \alpha \\ & t^\alpha \Big|_n^\alpha(t), \quad \operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1 = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma n} - \frac{(p-1)^n}{p^{(n+\alpha+1)}}, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t^{\frac{\nu}{2}} I_\nu \left(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \operatorname{Re} \nu > -1 = e^{\frac{\nu}{2} p} p^{-(\nu+1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}}, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0 \quad (2.27)$$

Using this operation results, we get the inversion

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_y^x e^{(b+k)(x-t)} (x-t)^{n-1} f_1(t, y) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n e^m \Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{r=0}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{r}\right) \frac{a^{2r-m}}{\Gamma(2r + \alpha + n - 2m)} \\ & \quad \int_y^x e^{b(x-t)} (x-t)^{2r+\alpha+n-2m} f_2(t, y) dt \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 9: If $\operatorname{Re} n > \operatorname{Re} m > -1, \alpha \text{ real}, g(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ in $0 \leq x < \alpha$

$$f_1(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{\beta(x-t)} I_n[\alpha(x-t)] g(t-y) dt \quad (2.28)$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \int_y^x e^{\beta(x-t)} I_m[\alpha(x-t)] g(t-y) dt \quad (2.29)$$

then

$$f_1(x, y) = (n-m) \int_y^x e^{\beta(x-t)} (x-t)^{-1} I_{n-m}[\alpha(x-t)] f_2(t-y) dt$$

Proof: We have the following operational results

$$e^{\alpha t} f(t) = g(p + \alpha)$$

and

$$I_\nu(\alpha t), \quad \operatorname{Re} \nu - 1, = r^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^\nu, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > |I_{m\alpha}| r = (p^2 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad R = p + r$$

Using the operational results, the inversion becomes

$$f_1(x, y) = (n-m) \int_y^x e^{\beta(x-t)} (x-t)^{-1} I_{n-m}[\alpha(x-t)] f_2(t, y) dt.$$

A. K. Thakur et al

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding this paper.

References

- I. Bhartiya, P.L.(1965), Mutual relations between different convolution transform of a function, *Jl. Of math, Jabalpur Univ.* P.47-54.
- II. Chang, K. S., Kim, B. S. and Yoo, I. (2000), Integral transform and convolution of analytic functionals on abstract Wiener space *Number. Funct. Anal.Optim.* , 21: 97 – 105.
- III. Erdilyi, A. (1954), Higher transcendental function Vol.1, Mcgrow Hill Book Co., New York.
- IV. Hirschman, I. I. & Widder D. V. (1955),The convolution transform, Princeton University Press.
- V. Huffman, T., Park, C. and Skoug, D. (1996), Convolution and Fourier–Feynman transforms of functionals involving multiple integrals. *Michigan Math. J*,43: 247 – 261.
- VI. Huffman, T., Park, C. and Skoug, D. (1997), Convolution and Fourier–Feynman transforms. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* , 27: 827 – 841.
- VII. Kim, B. J., Kim, B. S. and Skoug, D. (2004) Integral transforms, convolution products and first variations. *Int. J. Math. Math. Soc.*,11: 579 – 598.
- VIII. Kim, J. G., KO, J. W., Park, C. and Skoug, D. (1999) Relationships among transforms, convolutions and first variations. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 22. pp. 191 – 204.
- IX. N.M. Tuan, P.D. Tuan, Generalized convolutions relative to the Hartley transforms with applications, *Sci. Math. Jpn.* 70 (2009), 77–89.
- X. Park, C., Skoug, D. and Storvick, D. (1998)Relationships among the first variation, the convolution product and the Fourier–Feynman transform *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* , 28: 1447 – 1468.
- XI. Sharma, H. N. (1973), Evaluation of definite integrals by the method of convolution transform *Indian Jl. Of math*, Vol.15, No.1.
- XII. Singh, B.P. (1989), Ph.D. thesis, Integral transform with special reference to convolution transform, Ranchi University.