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#### Abstract

Based on the Struble technique, a simple formula is presented for obtaining approximate solutions of over-damped nonlinear differential systems when one of the roots of the unperturbed equation is much smaller than the other roots. The method is easier than the existing perturbation techniques. An example is given to biological system.
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## 1. Introduction

Among the methods used to study nonlinear systems with a small nonlinearity, the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolskii (KBM) [1-5] method is particularly convenient and it is widely used technique to obtain the approximate solutions. The method was originally developed by Krylov and Bogoliubov [1] for obtaining periodic solution of a second order nonlinear differential equation. The method was amplified and justified by Bogoliubov and Mitropolishkii [2-5]. Popov [6] extended the method to a damped oscillatory process in which a strong linear damping force acts. Murty, Dekshatulu and Krisna [7] extended the method to over-damped nonlinear system. Shamsul [8-10] investigated over-damped nonlinear systems and found approximate solutions of Duffing's equation when one root of the unperturbed equation was respectively double or triples of the other. Recently Shamsul [18] has developed the general Struble's techniques for several damping effect. In [18], it has been shown that the general Struble's technique is identical to the unified KBM method [1-5]. In this paper, an asymptotic solution of a biological system has been found by Struble's techniques

Three such models are described below:
(i) A modified Lotka-Volterra model: Assuming in presence of predator and a logistic growth for prey one obtains the well-known equations [15,17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{N}_{1}=N_{1}\left(k_{11}+k_{12} N_{1}+k_{13} N_{2}\right), \quad \dot{N}_{2}=N_{2}\left(k_{21}+k_{22} N_{1}+k_{23} N_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are two populations.
(ii) Oscillating chemical reaction: Lefever and Nicolis [14] have considered a set of chemical reactions modeled by the chemical kinetic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}=A+X^{2} Y-B X-X, \quad \dot{Y}=B X-X^{2} Y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are concentrations, and $A$ and $B$ are initial product concentrations. Lefever and Nicolis [14] have studied the phase portrait in the phase plane ( $X, Y$ ) both analytically and numerically, and shown the existence of a limit cycle.
(iii) The FitzHugh equations: To investigate the physiological state of nerve membranes, FitzHugh [11] introduce a theoretical model described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{1}=\alpha+x_{1}+x_{2}-\frac{x_{1}}{3}, \quad x_{2}=\rho\left(\gamma-x_{1}-\beta_{2} x_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is assume that $\alpha, \gamma \in(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\beta, \rho \in(0,1)$. For $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$, equation (3) reduces to a Van der Pol equation. This particular model has been studied by Troy [16], Hsu and Kazarinoff [13]. FitzHugh [11] investigated the model quantitatively in the phase plane, while Hsu and Kazarinoff [13] dealt with periodic solutions using the Poincare-Hopf bifurcation theory.

It will be shown that all the modeling equation (i)-(iii) can be presented in the neighborhood of the equilibrium position by a second order differential equation of the type [8-10].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+2 k \dot{x}+c x=\varepsilon f_{1}(x, \dot{x})+\varepsilon^{2} f_{2}(x, \dot{x})+\ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small positive parameter, and the significant damping term is expressed by the linear term $2 k \dot{x}$. The damping coefficients $k$ [of order $\mathrm{O}(1)]$, and also $c$, are constant. The assumption $k>\sqrt{c}$ ensures that the system is over-damped. When $\varepsilon=0$, equation (4) has two roots, say $-\lambda,-\mu, \quad \lambda, \mu>0$. Therefore, the unperturbed solution of the equation (4) is $x(t)=x_{1,0} e^{-\lambda t}+x_{-1,0} e^{-\mu t}$, which describes a non-oscillatory motion. Here $x_{1,0}$ and $x_{-1,0}$ are two arbitrary constants.
2. The Struble's Techniques for Over-Damped Systems

Equation (4) is slightly more general than the equation initially studied by Popov [6], which does not include the term $\varepsilon^{2} f_{2}(x, \dot{x})$. Following the Struble's techniques [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t, \varepsilon)=x_{1,0} e^{-\lambda t}+x_{-1,0} e^{-\mu t}+\varepsilon u_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{-1}, t\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1,0}$ and $x_{-1,0}$ satisfy the first order differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{1}=\varepsilon A+\varepsilon^{2} \ldots, \quad \dot{x}_{-1}=\varepsilon B+\varepsilon^{2} \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now differentiating (5) twice with respect to $t$, substituting the derivatives $\ddot{x}, \dot{x}$ and the dependent variable $x$ in (4), utilizing (6) and comparing the coefficients of various power of $\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D+\mu) e^{-\lambda t} A+(D+\lambda) e^{-\mu t} B+(D+\lambda)(D+\mu) u_{1}=f^{(0)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{(0)}=f^{(0)}\left(x_{0}, \dot{x}_{0}\right)$ and $x_{0}=x_{1,0} e^{-\lambda t}+x_{-1,0} e^{-\mu t}$
In general, $f^{(0)}$ can be expanded in a Taylor's series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(0)}=\sum_{j, k=0} F_{j, k} e^{-(j \lambda+k \mu) t} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $u_{1}$ does not contain the terms with $e^{-(j \lambda+k \mu) t}$ where $j \lambda+k \mu \leq(j+k) c_{1}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}$, so that the coefficient in the expansion of $u_{1}$ does not become large and $u_{1}$ do not contain the secular type terms.

Substituting the values of $f^{(0)}$ from (8) into (7) using our assumption, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D+\mu) e^{-\lambda t} A+(D+\lambda) e^{-\mu t} B=\sum_{j, k=0} F_{j, k} e^{-(j \lambda+k \mu) t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \lambda+k \mu \leq(j+k) c_{1}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}$
and $(D+\lambda)(D+\mu) u_{1}=\sum_{j, k=0} F_{j, k} e^{-(j \lambda+k \mu) t}$
Solving equation (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\sum \frac{F_{j, k} e^{-(j \lambda+k \mu) t}}{(j \lambda+k \mu-\lambda)(j \lambda+k \mu-\mu)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to determine the unknown functions $A$ and $B$, we can replace $x_{1}$ and $x_{-1}$ in the right hand sides of (9) by their respective values obtained in the linear case and assume that the coefficients of $A$ and $B$ do not become large.

## 3. A Special Case of the Model (1)

A special case (over-damped) of the model (1) has been discussed by Goh [12].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{N}_{1}=N_{1}\left(5.6-0.5 N_{1}-0.6 N_{2}\right), \quad \dot{N}_{2}=N_{2}\left(-11+N_{1}+N_{2}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{1}$ be prey density and $N_{2}$ be predator density. There exists a single steady state solution $N_{1}^{*}=10, \quad N_{2}^{*}=1$ of (12), obtained for $\dot{N}_{1}=0, \quad \dot{N}_{2}=0$, i. e., from the equilibrium equations. Here we can show that, one of the roots is much smaller than the others. Goh [12] showed the equilibrium of the model (12) is locally stable. If the solution initially starts for $N_{1}^{*}=11, \quad N_{2}^{*}=3$ it rends rapidly to $N_{1}^{*}=0$ and $N_{2}^{*}=\infty$, although the steady state solution $N_{1}^{*}=10, \quad N_{2}^{*}=1$ is not very far from the steady state solution. That is why we are interested to investigate quantitative solutions in the neighborhood of the steady state solution.

The solution in the neighborhood of the steady state are presented by $x$ and $y$
where $\quad N_{1}(t)=N_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon x(t), \quad N_{2}(t)=N_{2}^{*}+\varepsilon y(t)$
Using (12) and (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} & =-(10+\varepsilon x)(0.5 x+0.6 y), \\
\dot{y} & =x+y+\varepsilon\left(x y+y^{2}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $y$ from equation (13) and (14) leads to a second order differential equation for $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+4 \dot{x}+x=\varepsilon\left[\frac{11}{15} x^{2}-\frac{7}{6} x \dot{x}-\frac{1}{15} \dot{x}^{2}\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the unperturbed equation, i. e. $\ddot{x}+4 \dot{x}+x=0$ has the roots $-2+\sqrt{3}$ and $-2-\sqrt{3}$. It is clear that the ratio of the roots is 12.34 . i. e. one of the roots is much smaller than the others.

Therefore, for modeling equation (12), equation (7) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& (D+\mu) e^{-\lambda t} A+(D+\lambda) e^{-\mu t} B+(D+\lambda)(D+\mu) u_{1} \\
& =\frac{x_{1}{ }^{2}}{30} e^{-2 \lambda t}\left(22+35 \lambda-2 \lambda^{2}\right)+\frac{x_{-1}{ }^{2}}{30} e^{-2 \mu t}\left(22+35 \mu-2 \mu^{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& +\frac{x_{1} X_{-1}}{30} e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}(44+35 \lambda+35 \mu-4 \lambda \mu)
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& (D+\mu) e^{-\lambda t} A=\frac{x_{1} X_{-1}}{30} e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}(44+35 \lambda+35 \mu-4 \lambda \mu)  \tag{17}\\
& (D+\lambda) e^{-\mu t} B=\frac{x_{-1}{ }^{2}}{30} e^{-2 \mu t}\left(22+35 \mu-2 \mu^{2}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& (D+\lambda)(D+\mu) u_{1}=\frac{x_{1}{ }^{2}}{30} e^{-2 \lambda t}\left(22+35 \lambda-2 \lambda^{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and
Solving equations (17)-(19), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\frac{x_{1} x_{-1}}{30 \lambda} e^{-\mu t}(44+35 \lambda+35 \mu-4 \lambda \mu)  \tag{20}\\
B=\frac{x_{-1}{ }^{2}}{30(2 \mu-\lambda)} e^{\mu t}\left(22+35 \mu-2 \mu^{2}\right)  \tag{21}\\
u_{1}=n_{1} x_{1}^{2} e^{-2 \lambda t} \quad \text { where } n_{1}=\frac{1}{30 \lambda(2 \lambda-\mu)}\left(22+35 \lambda-2 \lambda^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting the values of $A$ and $B$ into equation (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{1}=\varepsilon l_{1} x_{1} x_{-1} e^{-\mu t} \quad \dot{x}_{-1}=\varepsilon m_{1} x_{-1}^{2} e^{-\mu t} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}=\frac{1}{30 \lambda}(44+35 \lambda+35 \mu-4 \lambda \mu), m_{1}=\frac{1}{30(2 \mu-\lambda)}\left(22+35 \mu-2 \mu^{2}\right)$
First equation of (23) has an exact equation but the second equation of (23) has no exact solution. So, we solved the first equation analytically and assume that $x_{1}$ is constant in the right hand side of the second equation of (23).

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{1,0} e^{\varepsilon_{1} x_{-1,0}\left(1-e^{-\mu \mu}\right) / \mu}, \quad x_{-1}=\frac{x_{-1,0}}{1-\varepsilon m_{1} x_{-1,0}\left(1-e^{-\mu t}\right) / \mu} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the first order approximate solution of (15) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{1} e^{-\lambda t}+x_{-1} e^{-\mu t}+\varepsilon u_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $x_{1}$ and $x_{-1}$ are given by (24) and $u_{1}$ is given by (22).

## 4. Results and Discussion

In order to test the accuracy of an approximate solution obtained by a certain perturbation method, we compare the approximate solution to the numerical solution. With regard to such a comparison concerning the presented Struble's techniques of this article, we refer to the work of Murty and Deekshatulu [7], Shamsul [18]. In this article, we have compare the approximate solution (25) (when $k=2, c=1$ and $\varepsilon=0.5$ ) to those obtained by a fourth order Runge-Kutta method.

Here we have considered the equation (12) [special case of modeling equation (1)] in which $N_{1}^{*}=10, \quad N_{2}^{*}=1$ (in lakh, 1 lakh=1,00,000). Let us assume that 20 thousands prey have been added to this population. For that we have chosen $y(0)=0.0$ and $\varepsilon=0.5$. First of all, $x(t)$ has been computed by our asymptotic solution (25) with initial condition $x(0)=0.4$ and $\dot{x}(0)=-2.04$. Then $N_{1}(t)=N_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon x(t)$ has been computed. To verify the results, corresponding numerical solutions of $N_{1}(t)$ has been computed by fourth order Runge-Kutta method. All the results are shown in Fig. 1(a). From Fig. 1(a) it is clear that the asymptotic solution (25) shows good agreement with the numerical solution.

To compute $N_{2}(t)$ or $y(t)$, we have to compute $\dot{x}(t)$. Differentiating $x(t)$ from (25) and then substituting the values of $\dot{x}(t)$ and $x(t)$ into the first equation of (14) and simplifying, we have computed $y(t)$ and
then $N_{2}(t)=N_{2}^{*}+\varepsilon y(t)$. Corresponding numerical results of $N_{2}(t)$ have been computed and both the results are shown in Fig. 1(b). From Fig. 1(b), we see that the perturbation results of $N_{2}(t)$ also agree with the numerical results.


Fig. 1(a): Perturbation solutions (solid line) and numerical solutions (dotted line) of $N_{1}$ are computed when $N_{1}(0)=10.2$ and $N_{2}(0)=1.0$ [or $N_{1}=10.2$ and $\left.N_{2}=1.0\right]$. In this case, $x(0)=0.4, \dot{x}(0)=-2.04$ and $\varepsilon=0.5$ or $a_{1,0}=0.529798$ and $a_{1,2}=-.153345$.


Fig. 1(b): Perturbation solutions (solid line) and numerical solutions (dotted line) of $N_{2}$ are computed with the same initial conditions as in Fig. 1(b).

## 4. Conclusion

An asymptotic solution is found based on the Struble technique for nonoscillatory nonlinear biological systems, when one of the roots of the unperturbed equation is much smaller than the other. The results agree with the numerical solutions nicely.
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