

VECTOR CONE METRIC SPACES AND SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS

By

¹Mukti Gangopadhyay, ²Mantu Saha and ³A. P. Baisnab

¹Calcutta Girls' B.T. College, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.

²Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, West Bengal,
India

³Lady Brabourne College, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.

Abstract:

In this paper it is shown that a vector cone metric space as introduced by us bears a metric like topology. Cantor's intersection like Theorem is proved and as an application of the same a useful fixed point Theorem is obtained.

Keywords and phrases : vector cone, metric space, topology, fixed point Theorem

বিমূর্ত সার (Bengali version of the Abstract)

এই পত্রে আমরা দেখিয়েছি যে, যে ভেক্টর কোণ ম্যাট্রিক দেশ (vector cone metric space) উপস্থাপন করা হয়েছে তা ম্যাট্রিকের মত টপোলজিকে বহন করে। কেন্টরের (Cantor) পরস্পরচ্ছেদী সদৃশ উপপাদ্যকে প্রমাণ করেছি এবং ইহার প্রয়োগ হিসাবে একটি উপযোগী স্থির বিন্দু উপপাদ্যকে পেয়েছি।

1. Introduction

Huang and Zhang [2] generalized the notion of metric space by replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space and they had defined a cone metric space. Huang and Zhang also established some fixed point Theorems for contractive type mappings in a normal cone metric space. Subsequently, several other authors (see [1], [3], [5]) studied problem of common fixed point of mappings satisfying a contractive type condition in a normal cone metric space. Sh. Rezapour and R. Haml barani in [5] had extended results of Huang and Zhang

in [2]. Ismat Bag, Akbar Azam and Muhammad Mrshad had also extended results of Huang and Zhang for a pair of self mappings satisfying a generalized contractive type condition.

In this paper Cantor's intersection like theorem has been established in a vector cone metric space and with its aid some fixed point theorems have been established. Our findings may be treated as extension works of Huang and Zhang but in a different direction avoiding usual Picard Iterative scheme as followed by Huang and Zhang.

2.

We recall the following definitions:

2.1 Definition

A subset P of a real Banach space E is said to be a cone if and only if

$$P_1 : P \text{ is closed, nonempty and } P \neq \{\theta\}$$

$$P_2 : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P \quad P_3 : x \in P \text{ and } -x \in P \Rightarrow x = \theta$$

2.2 Definition

For a given cone $P \subseteq E$, we can define a partial ordering \leq on E with respect to P by the rule:

$$x \leq y \text{ in } E \text{ if and only if } y - x \in P.$$

We shall write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$; and $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{Int } P$.

2.3(a) Definition

A cone P in E is called normal if there is a scalar $k > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in P$ with $\theta \leq x \leq y$, one has $\|x\| \leq k \|y\|$.

2.3(b) Definition

If further k_0 is the least positive number satisfying $\|x\| \leq k_0 \|y\|$ for all

$x, y \in P$ with $\theta \leq x \leq y$, then k_0 is called the normal constant of the normal cone P .

2.4 Definition

Let M be a nonempty set. Let $d : M \times M \rightarrow E$ satisfy,

$$d_1 : \theta \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M$$

and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$ in M .

$$d_2 : d(x, y) = d(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } M$$

$$d_3 : d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \text{ in } M.$$

Then d is called a cone metric on M and (M, d) is called a cone metric space.

2.5 Definition

In a cone metric space (M, d) , a member $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots)$ in E with $\alpha_n > 0$ for all n is called a positive member of E .

Suppose E denotes the collection of all bounded sequence of reals. Then E becomes a real Banach space with norm $\|x\| = \sup_n |x_n|$; as $x \in E$ where

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots).$$

If P denotes the set of all bounded sequences of non-negative reals, then as a subset of E , we verify that P forms a cone in E .

2.1 Example

Set of all bounded sequences of non-negative real numbers is a normal cone in the Banach space l_∞ (In fact $l_\infty = E$ as above).

2.6 Definition

A positive real number ε is taken to represent a positive member $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \dots)$ of E . Let $x_0 \in E$ and r be a positive member of E . Then set denoted by $B_r(x_0) = \{x \in M : d(x, x_0) < r\}$, is called an open ball centered at x_0 with radius r in (M, d) .

2.1 Theorem

The family \mathcal{B} of all open balls in (M, d) together with empty set form a base for a topology τ_d on X .

Proof

Take two members $\mathcal{B}_{r_1}(x_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{r_2}(x_2)$ in \mathcal{B} and $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{r_1}(x_1) \cap \mathcal{B}_{r_2}(x_2)$. It suffices to find an open ball like $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)$ to satisfy $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(x_0) \subset \mathcal{B}_{r_1}(x_1) \cap \mathcal{B}_{r_2}(x_2)$.

Suppose, $d(x_0, x_1) = (\alpha_1(x_0, x_1), \alpha_2(x_0, x_1) \dots \alpha_n(x_0, x_1) \dots)$ and we have $\alpha_n(x_0, x_1) < r_{1n}$ for all n where $r_i = (r_{i1}, r_{i2} \dots r_{in}, \dots)$, $i = 1, 2$ are two positive members of E .

If $0 < \varepsilon_n < \min\{(r_{1n} - \alpha_n(x_0, x_1)), r_{2n} - (\alpha_n(x_0, x_2))\}$ for $n = 1, 2 \dots$ then

$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots)$ is a positive member of E such that $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(x_0) \subset \mathcal{B}_{r_1}(x_1) \cap \mathcal{B}_{r_2}(x_2)$.

Hence the conclusion follows.

This topology τ_d is termed as a cone metric topology in (M, d) .

2.2 Example

Let M be the collection of all real polynomials like $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j$ where a_j 's are real coefficients and $a_j = 0$ eventually in j and let $d : M \times M \rightarrow E$ be taken as

$$d(p, q) = (|a_0 - b_0|, |a_1 - b_1|, \dots |a_r - b_r|, \dots)$$

where $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j$ and $q(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j$ as referred to above.

2.2 Theorem

The cone metric topology τ_d in (M, d) is Hausdorff.

Proof

The proof is a routine exercise and is left out.

3.

3.1 Definition

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (M, d) is said to be convergent in (M, d) if there is a member $x \in M$ such that if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there is an index N such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) \ll c$. If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x in (M, d) , we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

3.2 Definition

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (M, d) is said to be Cauchy in (M, d) if for any $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there is an index N such that we have $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $m, n > N$.

3.3 Definition

(M, d) is said to be a complete cone metric space if every Cauchy sequence in (M, d) is convergent in (M, d) .

3.4 (a) Definition

A subset B of M is called bounded if there is a positive member K in E such that $d(b_1, b_2) \leq K$ for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$.

3.4 (b) Definition

Diameter of a bounded set B in M denoted by $\text{Diam } B$ is defined as

$$\text{Diam } B = \left(\sup_{b_1, b_2 \in B} \alpha_1(b_1, b_2), \sup_{b_1, b_2 \in B} \alpha_2(b_1, b_2), \dots, \sup_{b_1, b_2 \in B} \alpha_n(b_1, b_2), \dots \right) \text{ where } d(b_1, b_2) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in E ;$$

where for each i , $\sup_{b_1, b_2 \in B} \alpha_i(b_1, b_2) < +\infty$, by virtue of B being bounded. Therefore

$\text{Diam } (B)$ of a non-empty bounded set containing more than one member is always a positive member of E .

3.1 Theorem

A necessary and sufficient condition for a vector cone metric space (M, d) to be complete is that every nested sequence of nonempty closed subsets $\{G_n\}$ with Diameter

$$(G_n) \rightarrow \theta \in E \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ has } \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \text{ as a singleton.}$$

We use the following lemma. Proof of which is easy and left out.

3.1 Lemma

If G is a nonempty subset of (M, d) then $\text{Diam } G = \text{Diam } \bar{G}$, \bar{G} denoting τ_d -closure of G in (M, d) .

Proof of Theorem

For necessary part, suppose (M, d) is complete and take $a_n \in G_n$, then for

$$p \geq 1, a_{n+p} \in G_{n+p} \subset G_n. \quad d(a_n, a_{n+p}) \leq \text{Diam } G_n.$$

Then $\|d(a_n, a_{n+p})\| \leq k \|\text{Diam } G_n\|$, where k is a normal constant. This implies $d(a_n, a_{n+p}) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{a_n\}$ becomes Cauchy in (M, d) and by completeness of (M, d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = u \in M$. Now $a_{n+p} \in G_n$ and by closure property of G_n , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+p} = u \in G_n$. Therefore $u \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$. If v is another member of $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$, for all n , we have $u, v \in G_n$ and $d(u, v) \leq \text{Diam } G_n$. Hence $\|d(u, v)\| \leq k \|\text{Diam } G_n\|$, where k is a normal constant. This implies $d(u, v) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $u = v$. Hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ is a singleton.

For sufficiency, let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (M, d) . Put $H_n = (x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)$. Then \bar{H}_n is a decreasing sequence of non empty closed sets in (M, d) such that $\text{Diam}(\bar{H}_n) = \text{Diam}(H_n) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{H}_n$ is a singleton (say) $\{u\}$; Now $d(x_n, u) \leq \text{Diam}(H_n)$. Therefore

$$\|d(x_n, u)\| \leq k \|\text{Diam}(H_n)\|, \text{ where } k \text{ is a normal constant. This implies } d(x_n, u) \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u \in M$. So (M, d) is complete.

3.2 Theorem

Let (M, d) be a complete vector cone metric space and $T : M \rightarrow M$ be an operator to satisfy, $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, T(x)) + \beta d(y, T(y)) + \nu d(x, y)$ with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \nu$ and $\alpha + \beta + \nu < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point in M.

Proof

If x_0 be an arbitrary point in M and $x_n = T^n(x_0), n = 1, 2, \dots$ where $T^0(x_0) = x_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_1) &= d(T(x_1), T(x_0)) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_1, x_2) + \beta d(x_0, x_1) + \nu d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

or, $d(x_2, x_1) \leq \frac{\beta + \nu}{1 - \alpha} d(x_0, x_1)$

Similarly, $d(x_3, x_2) \leq \left(\frac{\beta + \nu}{1 - \alpha}\right)^2 d(x_0, x_1)$ and by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{\beta + \nu}{1 - \alpha}\right)^n d(x_0, x_1) \\ &= \delta^n d(x_0, T(x_0)) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\delta = \frac{\beta + \nu}{1 - \alpha} < 1$.

If $\{h_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive members of E such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = \theta$.

Put $G_k = \{x \in M : d(x, T(x)) \leq h_k\}$, where $h_k = (h_k, h_k, \dots) \in E$. From (1) it follows that for large $k, G_k \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $G_k \neq \emptyset$ for all k. Clearly $\{G_k\}$ forms a decreasing chain of non-empty set in M. We show that T maps G_k into itself. If

$x \in G_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(x), T(T(x))) &\leq \alpha d(x, T(x)) + \beta d(T(x), T(T(x))) \\ &\quad + \nu d(x, T(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - \beta) d(T(x), T(T(x))) \leq (\alpha + \nu) d(x, T(x))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T(x), T(T(x))) &\leq \frac{\alpha + \nu}{1 - \beta} d(x, T(x)) \\
 &< d(x, T(x)) \quad \text{where } \frac{\alpha + \nu}{1 - \beta} < 1, \quad \text{since } \alpha + \beta + \nu < 1 \\
 &< h_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T : G_k \rightarrow G_k$.

Next we show that each G_k is closed.

Let $\lim_j x_j = u \in M$ where $x_j \in G_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u, T(u)) &\leq d(u, x_j) + d(x_j, T(x_j)) + d(T(x_j), T(u)) \\
 &\leq d(u, x_j) + d(x_j, T(x_j)) + \alpha d(x_j, T(x_j)) \\
 &\quad + \beta d(u, T(u)) + \nu d(x_j, u)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - \beta)d(u, T(u)) \leq d(u, x_j) + h_k + \alpha h_k + \nu d(x_j, u)$$

$$d(u, T(u)) \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta} h_k + (1 + \nu)d(x_j, u)$$

Passing on limit $j \rightarrow \infty$, noting that $d(x_j, T(x_j)) \leq h_k$, we find

$$d(u, T(u)) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta} \right) h_k.$$

Now $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \nu < 1$ and $\alpha + \beta + \nu < 1$ gives $\frac{\alpha + \nu}{1 - \beta} < 1$ and so

$$\sup_{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\alpha + \nu}{1 - \beta} \right\} \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\alpha + 1}{1 - \beta} \leq 1 \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$d(u, T(u)) \leq h_k.$$

Thus $u \in G_k$ and G_k is shown to be closed.

Finally, we show that each G_k is bounded.

Take $u, v \in G_k$. So

$$d(u, v) \leq d(u, T(u)) + d(T(u), T(v)) + d(T(v), v)$$

$$\leq h_k + h_k + \alpha d(u, T(u)) + \beta d(v, T(v)) + \nu d(u, v) \text{ So, } (1 - \nu)d(u, v) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2)h_k$$

implying that

$$d(u, v) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + 2}{1 - \nu} h_k.$$

That means G_k is bounded and if $x, y \in G_k$, we have

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, T(x)) + d(T(x), T(y)) + d(T(y), y)$$

$$\leq 2h_k + \alpha d(x, T(x)) + \beta d(y, T(y)) + \nu d(x, y) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + 2}{1 - \nu} h_k.$$

So, $\|d(x, y)\| \leq k_0 \cdot \frac{\alpha + \beta + \nu}{1 - \nu} \|h_k\|$, where k_0 is a normal constant.

Right hand side $\rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So $d(x, y) \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ so $\text{Diam } G_k \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus $\{G_k\}$ is a decreasing chain of nonempty closed set in (M, d) with diam

$$(G_k) \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the theorem above, $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$ is a singleton, say $\{u\}$ for some $u \in M$. Hence

$T(u) = u$. Uniqueness of u is also clear and proof is complete.

3.1 Corollary

If T is a contraction mapping from a complete vector cone metric space into itself, then T has a unique fixed point in M .

Taking $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $0 < \nu < 1$ in theorem above, corollary follows.

3.2 Corollary

If T is a Kannan like mapping from a complete vector cone metric space into itself, then T has a unique fixed point in M .

Taking $\alpha = \beta$ and $\nu = 0$ in 3.2 theorem, corollary follows

4.

4.1 Definition

Let (M, d) be a vector cone metric space and $P \subseteq M$ denote the set of all bounded sequences of non-negative reals. A mapping $\phi: P \rightarrow P$ is said to be upper semi continuous from right if for each j , $\overline{\lim}_n \phi(\alpha_j^{(n)}) \leq \phi(\lim_n \alpha_j^{(n)})$ where

$$\{\alpha_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1,2,\dots} = (\alpha_1^{(n)}, \alpha_2^{(n)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(n)}, \dots) \in P, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

4.1 Theorem

If (M, d) is a complete vector cone metric space and $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfies the condition that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \phi \left[\max \{d(x, y), d(x, T(x)), d(y, T(y))\} \right] \text{ where } \phi \text{ is an upper}$$

semicontinuous function from right from P to P ($P = (x) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots): x_i > 0 \forall i$)

such that $\phi(t) \neq t$ and $\sup_{t>0} \frac{t}{t - \phi(t)} < \infty$. Then T has a fixed point in M .

The proof rests upon applying the following lemma.

4.1 Lemma

If $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = (\alpha_1^{(n)}, \alpha_2^{(n)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(n)}, \dots) = (\alpha_j^{(n)})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

where $x_n = T^n(x)$ and $x \in X$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j^{(n)} = \theta$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof

Suppose $\alpha^{(n)} > \theta$ for all n , where $\alpha^{(n)} = (\alpha_1^{(n)}, \alpha_2^{(n)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(n)}, \dots)$

Then $\alpha^{(n)} = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$

$$= d(T^n(x), T^{n+1}(x))$$

$$= d(T(T^{n-1}(x)), T(T^n(x)))$$

$$= d(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n))$$

$$\leq \phi \left[\max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, T(x_{n-1})), d(x_n, T(x_n))\} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \phi \left[\max \{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \} \right] \\
 &= \phi \left[\max \{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Now if \max value $= d(x_n, x_{n+1})$, then one has

$$\alpha^{(n)} \leq \phi(\alpha^{(n)}), \text{ which is untenable.}$$

Hence \max value $= d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \alpha^{(n-1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{So we have } \alpha^{(n)} = d(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \phi(\alpha^{(n-1)}) & (1) \\
 &< \alpha^{(n-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

That means $\{\alpha^{(n)}\}$ is a decreasing sequence and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{(n)} = \alpha$ where

$\alpha = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \dots)$. If $\alpha > 0$, we have $\phi(\alpha) < \alpha$.

Since ϕ is an upper semicontinuous function from right we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\alpha^{(n)}) \leq \phi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{(n)}) = \phi(\alpha) < \alpha \text{ contradicting (1) namely, } \alpha^{(n)} \leq \phi(\alpha^{(n-1)}).$$

Hence one concludes $\lim_n \alpha^{(n)} = \theta$.

Proof of Theorem

$$\text{Put } G_n = \left\{ x \in X, d(x, T(x)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

First we show that for large values of n , $G_n \neq \phi$. Take $x \in M$ and consider $x_n = T^n(x)$.

Lemma above shows that $d(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly $G_n \neq \phi$ for large values of n .

Let us suppose that $G_n \neq \phi$ for all n . We verify that T maps G_n into G_n . Take $x \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T(x), T(T(x))) &\leq \phi \left[\max \{ d(x, T(x)), d(x, T(x)), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. d(T(x), T(T(x))) \} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \phi \left[\max \left\{ d(x, T(x)), d(T(x), T(T(x))) \right\} \right]$$

Now if max value is $d(T(x), T^2(x))$; then

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(x), T(T(x))) &\leq \phi \left\{ d(T(x), T(T(x))) \right\} \\ &< d(T(x), T^2(x)) \text{ which is a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence max value = $d(x, T(x))$. Therefore

$$d(T(x), T(T(x))) \leq \phi \left(d(x, T(x)) \right) < d(x, T(x)) \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

That means $T(x) \in G_n$. Hence T maps G_n into itself.

Now we check that G_n is closed in vector cone metric space.

Let $\{x_{n_k}\} \in G_n$ satisfy $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x_0 \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } d(x_0, T(x_0)) &\leq d(x_0, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, T(x_0)) \\ &\leq d(x_0, x_{n_k}) + d(T(x_{n_{k-1}}), T(x_0)) \\ &\leq d(x_0, x_{n_k}) + \phi \left[\max \left\{ d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_0), d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. d(x_0, T(x_0)) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x_0, x_{n_k}), d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_0) \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) \leq \frac{1}{n}$

$$d(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq \phi \left[\max \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, d(x_0, T(x_0)) \right\} \right]$$

$$\text{If } \max \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, d(x_0, T(x_0)) \right\} = d(x_0, T(x_0))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } d(x_0, T(x_0)) &\leq \phi \left\{ d(x_0, T(x_0)) \right\} \\ &< d(x_0, T(x_0)) \text{ which is untenable.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, we conclude that } d(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq \phi \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$< \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus $x_0 \in G_n$ and G_n is shown to be closed.

Finally take $x, y \in G_n$, then $d(x, T(x)) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and $d(y, T(y)) \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

Now $d(x, y) \leq d(x, T(x)) + d(y, T(y)) + d(T(x), T(y))$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \phi \left[\max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, T(x)), d(y, T(y)) \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \phi \left[\max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now there are two cases to examine.

Case I

Suppose $d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ then $\max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{n} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \phi \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &< \frac{3}{n} < \infty \text{ and hence } \text{Diam} (G_n) \leq \frac{3}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Case II

Suppose $d(x, y) > \frac{1}{n}$,

then $\max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{n} \right\} = d(x, y)$ and in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \phi(d(x, y)) \\ d(x, y) \left(1 - \frac{\phi(d(x, y))}{d(x, y)} \right) &\leq \frac{2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

or, $d(x, y) \leq \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{d(x, y)}{d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y))}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sup_{t>0} \frac{t}{t - \phi(t)} \\ &= \frac{2R}{n} \text{ where } \sup_{t>0} \frac{t}{t - \phi(t)} = R < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $\|Diam(G_n)\| \leq k \left\| \frac{2R}{n} \right\|$, where k is a normal constant. This gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Diam(G_n) = \theta.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = \theta$.

Now Cantor's intersection Theorem as proved before applies to give $\bigcap_n G_n = \{w\}$

say, for some $w \in X$ and $T(w) = w$ is the fixed point of T .

References

- 1) Dejan Ilic, Vladimir Rakocevic, Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008), 876-882.
- 2) Huang Long-Guang, Zhang Xian, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007), 1468-1476.
- 3) M. Abbas, G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008), 416-420.
- 4) P. Velro, Common fixed points in cone metric spaces, Rendieonti del Circolo Mathematico di Palermo, Vol. 56 no. 3 (2007), pp. 464-468.
- 5) Sh. Rezapour, R. Hambarani, Some notes on the paper, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008), 719-724.
- 6) Sreenivasan, T. K., Some properties of distance functions, Jour. Indian Math. Soc. 11 (1947)

