



A Numerical study on ballistic performance of RHA steel plate against 7.62 mm AP Projectile

Reviewer 1: --

1. In several sections sentences has spelling and grammar mistakes, which needs to be corrected.
2. In several sections sentences has space problem, which needs to be corrected.
3. Proper sentence construction in several sections to be modified.

| Actual | Suggested |
|--|--|
| <i>The ballistic behaviour of RHA is appraised</i> | <i>The ballistic behavior of RHA is appraised</i> |
| <i>Projectile with hardened steel core.</i> | <i>Projectile with the hardened steel core</i> |
| <i>thickness by conducting a series of simulation</i> | <i>thicknesses by conducting a series of simulations</i> |
| ballistic limit properties with lower weight to get high | ballistic limit properties with a lower weight to get a high |
| study the influence of ballistic impact | study the influence of the ballistic impact |
| knowledge on failure mechanism should be | knowledge of the failure mechanism should be |
| time and cost in conducting multiple | time and cost of conducting multiple |
| though it cannot completely eliminate trials | though it cannot eliminate trials |
| of the critical aspects in the simulation | of the critical aspects of the simulation |
| values are fitted so as to match with | values are fitted to match |
| the results shows that simulation with | the results show that simulation with |
| on three defeating mechanism of the target | three defeating mechanisms of the target |
| hardness against 7.62mm AP projectile were determined | hardness against 7.62mm AP projectile was determined |
| having hardness of 50HRC gives the best ballistic | having a hardness of 50HRC gives the best ballistic |
| AP projectile at normal impact angle | AP projectile at a normal impact angle |

| | |
|--|--|
| considered for front layer, namely, alumina | considered for the front layer, namely |
| considered for backing layer, namely, aluminium | considered for the backing layer, namely, aluminum |
| the available experimental data from literature. | the available experimental data from the literature. |
| based on probabilistic distribution of perforation | based on the probabilistic distribution of perforation |
| plates were 20-30% thicker if probability of perforation | plates were 20-30% thicker if the probability of perforation |
| The authors investigated the scope of damage | The authors investigated the scope of the damage |
| by means of service shot test | using a service shot test |
| armour steel plates of same thickness | armour steel plates of the same thickness |
| protection in case of light armoured vehicles | protection in the case of light armored vehicles. |
| at normal angle of incidence, using ANSYS | at a normal angle of incidence, using ANSYS |
| one to one representation of full projectile model | one to one representation of the full projectile model |
| the material behaviour of the steel targets | the material behavior of the steel targets |
| with copper sheath. The core has diameter | with a copper sheath. The core has a diameter |
| with the mass of 5.3g. The mass of projectile | with a mass of 5.3g. The mass of the projectile |
| penetration is only due to hard steel core | penetration is only due to the hard steel core |
| armor plate to provide necessary level | armor plates to provide the necessary level |
| RHA differ as behaviour of plate thickness | RHA differ as to the behaviour of plate thickness |
| finite elements modelling of projectile | finite element modeling of the projectile |
| The projectile is modelled as steel | The projectile is modeled as steel |
| The target plate is modelled as circular | The target plate is modeled as a circular |
| main interest is the behaviour of impacted | main interest is the behavior of the impacted |
| Contact condition used between plates and | The contact condition used between plates and |
| connectivity was applied using pure Lagrangian | connectivity was applied using a pure Lagrangian |

| | |
|--|---|
| failure based erosion criteria is used for | failure based erosion criteria are used for |
| respectively, but increased projectile length | respectively, but the increased projectile length |
| the comparison with available experimental | the comparison with the available experimental |
| Thus the approximation of projectile | Thus the approximation of the projectile |
| there is no change in depth of | there is no change in the depth of |
| projectile with initial velocity of 854 m/s | projectile with an initial velocity of 854 m/s. |
| thickness works conducted earlier at | thickness work conducted earlier |
| The velocity graph of simplified projectile model with initial | The velocity graph of the simplified projectile model with an initial |
| The ductile cavity formation behaviour | The ductile cavity formation behavior |
| it is clearly seen that the projectile penetrates | it is seen that the projectile penetrates |

Comments to Editor :

1. After modifying the content, paper can be accepted for possible publication.

Reviewer 2: --

1. Paper should be written in JMCMS Journal format.
2. References and in-text citations are not in JMCMS format. More references should be included and sequentially/adequately arranged, as cited in the text.
3. In many places, sentences are started with abbreviations. When it is introduced for the first time, the full form should be given.
4. Authors need to Modify Abstract and conclusion more appropriately.
5. In section three, sentences end with few numbers of the full stop, which needs to be removed.
6. Conflict of interest regarding article should be mention in the text.

Comments to Editor :

1. After modifying the content, paper can be accepted for possible publication.

Reviewer 3: --

1. Paper should be written in JMCMS Journal format.
2. References and in-text citations are not in JMCMS format. More references should be included and sequentially/adequately arranged, as cited in the text.
3. Authors need to describe the literature survey in introduction section more elaborately
4. The Abstract and conclusion are needed to be Modified in accordance to fulfill the paper aim.
5. Conflict of interest regarding article should be mention in the text.

Comments to Editor :

1. After modifying the content, paper can be accepted for possible publication.

Regards
Editorial Manager

[Note: This is a computer-generated Report hence, no need of any Signature.]